

Brighton & Hove City Council

For general release

Meeting: Children Families and Schools Sub Committee
Date: 14 Mar 05
Report of: Director Children Families and Schools
Subject: Quarter 3 Best Value Performance Report 2004/05
Ward(s) affected: All

1. Purpose of the report

1.1 This report presents the 2004/05 quarterly performance indicator (PI) results for all indicators for which Children Families and Schools is the Service Committee for. These indicators are taken from the statutory Best Value Performance Indicator (BVPI) suite.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Performance Indicator results achieved during the third quarter 2004/05 as detailed in the abridged Q3 Best Value Performance Report 2004/05 (appended) be noted.
- 2.2 That it is noted that the 2003/04 year end results have been audited.
- 2.3 That it is noted that the information has been updated and is now 2003/04-quartile information.

3 Background

3.1 This report is the third quarterly performance report 2004/05 Abridged information will be reported to the relevant Executive Committees in the same cycle as Policy and Resources Committee.

4. Consultation

- 4.1 The quarterly information gathered against central government set PIs spans the entire authority, and therefore all directorates have been consulted in order to set targets and collect performance against them.

COMMITTEE REPORT APPENDIX I



Meeting/Date	Children Families and Schools 14 Mar 2005
Report of	Director of Children Families and Schools
Subject	Q3 Best Value performance Report 2004/05
Wards affected	All
Financial implications	<p>In those areas of performance that are short of target, service managers will need to explore ways of implementing revised strategies and procedures to secure performance improvements. It is not possible to quantify the financial implications of such measures but Directors will be aware that the costs will need to be met from within their existing budgets.</p> <p>Alan Clarkson</p>
Legal implications	<p>The report complies with the statutory requirements on Best Value contained in the Local Government Act 1999. Human Rights Act 1998 considerations have been taken into account in the preparation of this report.</p> <p>Lawyer consulted: Dianne Bates,</p>

<p>Corporate/Citywide implications</p> <p>The information in this report provides councillors with the timely and relevant performance information which enables them to track performance in services for the benefit of local residents.</p>	<p>Risk assessment</p> <p>The more holistic performance management arrangements will contribute to the management of risks that affect the council's objectives and business plans.</p> <p>Jackie Algar, 2005</p>
<p>Sustainability implications</p> <p>The Best Value performance monitoring framework is an important part of determining whether or not the council's sustainable development objectives are being met and identifying areas where improvement is needed. The statutory and local indicators help in auditing the delivery of services as part of the Best Value review process and in measuring and monitoring the impact of services on 'quality of life'.</p>	<p>Equalities implications</p> <p>The Best Value performance monitoring framework is an important part of determining whether or not the council's equalities objectives are being met and identifying areas where improvement is needed. The statutory and local indicators help in auditing the equalities aspects of service delivery as part of the Best Value review process.</p>
<p>Implications for the prevention of crime and disorder</p> <p>There are no adverse implications arising from this report.</p>	
<p>Contact Officer Maggie Squire Head of Performance Ex 1080</p>	