

CABINET ADDENDUM 1

10.30AM, THURSDAY, 15 OCTOBER 2026

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

Agendas and minutes are published on the council's website www.brighton-hove.gov.uk. Agendas are available to view five working days prior to the meeting date.

Electronic agendas can also be accessed through our meetings app available through [ModernGov: iOS/Windows/Android](#)

This agenda and all accompanying reports are printed on recycled paper

ADDENDUM

ITEM		Page
52	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	3 - 6
53	ISSUES RAISED BY MEMBERS	7 - 10
55	REPRESENTATIONS FROM OPPOSITION MEMBERS	

Representation from Councillor Sykes on Item 60: Targeted Budget Management (TBM)
2026/27 Month (August)

Brighton & Hove City Council

Cabinet

Agenda Item 52(a)

Subject: Petitions

Date of meeting: 15 October 2026

Report of: Director- Governance & Law

Contact Officer: Name: Raymond Bruce-Cathline

Tel: 01273 291354

Email: raymond.bruce-cathline@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected: All

1. Purpose of the report and policy context

- 1.1 To receive any petitions submitted directly to Democratic Services or any e-Petition submitted via the council's website.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That Cabinet note the petition.

3. Context and background information

- 3.1 To receive the following petition signed by 51 people at the time of publication:

(1) Parking Petition to Brighton & Hove City Council: Review and Reverse Changes to Parking Restrictions on Hallyburton Road, Hove.

We, the undersigned residents, call on Brighton & Hove City Council to urgently review and reverse the recent changes to the parking restrictions on Hallyburton Road, Hove, at the Carlton Terrace end. This affects about 10 bays but many people in the area. Previously, the parking bays operated under a limited controlled parking scheme, with restrictions from 11:00am–12:00pm and 6:00pm–7:00pm. This was agreed on by residents and allowed those with a resident permit, visitors, carers, and tradespeople to park, while still preventing long-term commuter parking from Portslade Station. New restrictions have changed to charge a fee from 9:00am–8:00pm and no longer allowing parking for those who have already purchased a resident permit in good faith. This is a significant change that has a substantial impact on residents' daily lives, particularly those with greater dependence on a car or have visiting carers. We support fair parking management, but significant changes should be based on transparent consultation and reflect the needs of the community they affect.

We are particularly concerned because none of the residents on our local WhatsApp Group were aware of proposed changes (at least 25 households). Of course, we would have objected to this restriction if we had known as it seriously disadvantages us.

- We were recently made aware that a notice appeared briefly in the Argus, over the Christmas period, when many households are away and not reading local papers. This minimal requirement did not reach the attention of any residents on our street.
- Residents confirm there were no consultation letters or notification of proposed changes.
- We did not see any notices displayed on the street informing the residents.

This is clear evidence that an appropriate notification process was not followed. Without adequate consultation, residents were denied opportunity to object before the decision was made. We believe that the council contravened its own publicised process to enable fair opportunity to comment and object to changes. This change undermines the needs of local residents without clear evidence that extensive controls are required.

These changes risk:

- Disadvantaging families with small children, or those with a disability that rely on their car and on street parking.
- Creating problems for carers, health professionals and tradespeople who need to park nearby.
- Making it more difficult for residents to receive visits from family and friends.
- Reducing flexibility for residents who rely on visitor parking.
- Introducing unnecessary restrictions

We therefore ask Brighton & Hove City Council to:

1. Remove this restriction from those with resident permits, we should be allowed to park at any time for any length of time outside our own property, if we have paid for this privilege.
2. Suspend new parking restrictions on Hallyburton Road while concerns are investigated.
3. Undertake meaningful consultation with residents before changes are made.
4. Reinstate the previous parking arrangements unless the council have clear evidence, supported by fair consultation with residents, that alternative restrictions are necessary.

Brighton & Hove City Council

Cabinet

Agenda Item 52 (b)

Subject: Public Questions

Date of meeting: Thursday, 15 October 2026

A period of not more than thirty minutes shall be allowed at each ordinary meeting for questions submitted by a member of the public.

The following written questions have been received from members of the public:

1. Daniel Harris: Item 60 Targeted Budget Management (TBM) 2026/27 Month (August)

Will the council explain and justify its use of the Housing Revenue Account for LPS consultancy, including the £1.2 million reprofile, total fees, procurement process and whether residents were consulted; publish every specific action, financial breakdown and service impact behind the £10.587 million Homes and Homelessness savings target; and identify who currently leads procurement operationally, given significant vacancies including Head of Procurement, alongside Councillor Joy Robinson's oversight role, explaining what safeguards prevent inappropriate spending or weakened scrutiny, and how tenants and residents will be involved before further consultancy commitments or savings decisions affecting their homes and essential services are approved?

Brighton & Hove City Council

Cabinet

Agenda Item 53

Subject: Member Questions

Date of meeting: Thursday, 15 October 2026

A maximum period of fifteen minutes in total shall be made available at each meeting of the Executive for questions from Members of the Council.

The questions included on the list of questions referred to above shall be taken as read at the Cabinet meeting. The question will be answered either orally or at the discretion of the Chair by a written answer circulated after the meeting. Officers may assist the Leader or a Cabinet Member with technical answers to questions. No supplementary questions shall be permitted.

The following written questions have been received from Members:

1. Councillor Meadows – Item 56 Royal Pavilion Gardens Restoration Project

Why is this a paper for decision if the restoration project is not council funded?
Should this report just be for noting?

2. Councillor McNair – Item 56 Royal Pavilion Gardens Restoration Project

Will the ice rink return to the Royal Pavilion site in 2027/28?

3. Councillor McNair – Item 57 Advertising and Sponsorship

In June 2026, the BBC reported that a council advertisement "had been banned for misleadingly suggesting a direct link between the use of wood burners and open fires and an increase in pollution." What safeguards have been put in place to ensure council adverts comply with the Advertising Standards Authority?

4. Councillor Meadows – Item 57 Advertising and Sponsorship

Why will the council not accept advertising from companies involved in fossil fuel production (appendix 1, p.29)? Without fossil fuels we'd be living in the dark ages. Surely this is a political decision?

5. Councillor Meadows - Item 58 Black Rock Future Vision and meanwhile use

Brighton & Hove City Council

What car parking provision at Black Rock is proposed to ensure inclusivity and that the disabled can access any new amenities?

6. Councillor McNair – Item 60 Targeted Budget Management (TBM) 2026/27 Month (August)

Are further cuts to libraries planned? It appears that there is currently a library overspend of £146000 (Appendix 1, p.84).

7. Councillor West – Item 57 Advertising and sponsorship

I recall a long-serving and highly respected former leading councillor once referring to advertising as a form of visual pollution. Exterior advertising is intrusive as it is visually unavoidable: it's one medium you can't switch off. It is understood that we do not need to pay conscious attention to an advertisement in order to be influenced by it, it works upon the sub-conscious. Advertising is less about satisfying existing needs than about creating new desires. And, it can result in anxieties about keeping up with the Joneses, our body image, and lower our self-esteem. Bristol Council's trailblazing policy now prohibits advertising for fast fashion, high-carbon transport, and fossil fuel related companies. In these especially straitened times, with growing public worries about making ends meet, will this council learn from the best and ensure an increased presence of advertising on council assets doesn't lead to a deterioration in mental health?

8. Councillor Sykes – Item 56 Royal Pavilion Gardens Restoration Project

There is a long-running proposal to install a sculpture in the RP gardens commemorating the involvement in World War 1 of Hindu, Muslim and Sikh soldiers for the UK. What's the status of this proposal, which was recommended for consideration by the recent Heritage Task and Finish Group?

9. Councillor Sykes – Item 60 Targeted Budget Management (TBM) 2026/27 Month (August)

The report mentions need for additional use of Exceptional Financial Support (EFS). Is the Administration planning to request additional EFS from Government over and above the £45m already requested?

10. Councillor Sykes – Item 60 Targeted Budget Management (TBM) 2026/27 Month (August)

What is the balance of EFS drawn down against successful asset disposals (upon which the EFS is conditional), i.e. what if anything is the sum we are effectively paying interest on to central Government?

Brighton & Hove City Council

11. Councillor Strong - Item 58 Black Rock Future Vision and meanwhile use.

The sustainability implications state that "Opportunities to reduce carbon impacts and promote sustainable travel will be explored through the detailed design and planning stages". This is weak as it only mentions "exploring" measures. Can we have assurances that this will be a thorough and detailed process looking into how these will be delivered during both construction and operation. In particular, will there be mode share targets to ensure that the site does not lead to increased car use along Madeira Drive.

12. Councillor Mcleay – Item 56 Royal Pavilion Gardens Restoration Project

Given that construction works on the Pavilion site will continue throughout winter 2026/27, making it impossible for the traditional ice rink to return there without significant cost and funding implications, can the Cabinet lead confirm whether there are any plans to provide a public ice rink elsewhere in Brighton & Hove this winter? If so, where is the proposed location, and what progress has been made to secure and deliver this seasonal attraction for residents and visitors?

