

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 22

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: TARGETED BUDGET MANAGEMENT
2012/13 MONTH 2

AUTHOR: NIGEL MANVELL

THE DECISION

- (1) That the forecast outturn position for the General Fund, which is an overspend of £0.522m be noted;
- (2) That the forecast outturn for the Housing Revenue Account (HRA), which is an underspend of £0.204m be noted;
- (3) That the virement within the HRA of £0.558m from capital financing costs to revenue contribution to capital be approved;
- (4) That the forecast outturn position on the capital programme be noted;
- (5) That the following changes to the capital programme be approved:
 - (i) The budget re-profiling and budget variations as set out in Appendix 2;
 - (ii) The carry forward of slippage into the 2013/14 capital programme, to meet on-going commitments on these schemes as set out in Appendix 2.
 - (iii) The new schemes as set out in Appendix 3.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Budget monitoring is a key element of good financial management, which is necessary in order for the council to maintain financial stability and operate effectively.

The capital budget changes are necessary to maintain effective financial management.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The provisional outturn position on council controlled budgets is an overspend of £0.522m. Any overspend at year-end would need to be funded from general reserves which would then need to be replenished to ensure that the working balance did not remain below £9.000m.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 July 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Wall', written over a horizontal line.

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 23

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: BUDGET UPDATE AND BUDGET
PROCESS 2013/14

AUTHOR: MARK IRELAND

THE DECISION

- (1) That the resource and expenditure projections for 2013/14 to 2015/16 set out in paragraph 3.26 be noted;
- (2) That the Directors be instructed to develop budget proposals for 2013/14 on the basis of:
 - a 3.5% council tax increase
 - the principles set out in paragraph 3.35
 - the consultation and engagement approach set out in paragraph 4;
- (3) That the Directors be instructed to develop outline budget proposals for 2014/15 alongside the detailed proposals for 2013/14; and
- (4) That the resource projections for the capital investment programme as shown in appendix 2 to the report be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The council is under a statutory duty to set its council tax and budget before 11 March each year. This report sets out the budget assumptions, process and timetable to meet this statutory duty.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The budget process allows all parties to put forward viable budget amendments and council tax proposals to Budget Council on 28th February 2013. Budget Council has the opportunity to debate both the proposals put forward by Policy and Resources committee at the same time as any viable alternative proposals.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 24

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: COUNCIL TAX DISCOUNTS AND
EXEMPTIONS REFORM

AUTHOR: PAUL ROSS-DALE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the following changes to council tax discounts and exemptions are proposed from 1 April 2013:
 - the removal of the 10% second Homes discount so that all second homes are liable for full council tax
 - the giving of 100% discount on properties undergoing structural work for up to 12 months (or 6 months after the works are completed whichever is the earlier) to replicate the existing Class A exemption;
 - the levying of the maximum allowable premium of 50% on properties that have been empty for more than two years;
- (2) That the issues in relation to discounts that might be applied in the first six months of a property being empty be noted;
- (3) That the proposed approach to consultation be agreed; and
- (4) That a further report be brought back to this Committee on 11th October 2012.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To enable consultation to be undertaken on proposed changes to council tax discounts.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The council could choose not to take advantage of the new flexibilities in relation to second homes discounts and long term empty properties but this would not be in keeping with overall strategic housing objectives and would not raise additional income.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 25

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: COUNCIL TAX SUPPORT SYSTEM -
DRAFT SCHEME

AUTHOR: JOHN FRANCIS

THE DECISION

- (1) That the Government's Statement of Intent be noted;
- (2) That the feedback from the consultation with Major Precepting Authorities be noted;
- (3) That the feedback from the initial consultation with other stakeholders be noted;
- (4) That the Transition Principles and Scheme Principles be agreed as the basis for the draft Scheme as set out in paragraph 3.7 of the report;
- (5) That the draft Brighton & Hove Council Tax Low Income Discount Scheme (the "draft Scheme") as set out in paragraph 3.8 of the report be agreed;
- (6) That the draft Scheme be published and formal consultation and next steps undertaken as set out in paragraphs 3.11 to 3.18 of the report;
- (7) That the key issues to be raised in response to the government's consultation on funding arrangements, as set out in paragraph 3.19 of the report, be agreed;
- (8) That the Director of Finance be authorised to (a) settle the final draft of the Scheme for publication, the detailed arrangements for formal consultation, and the response to the government's consultation on funding arrangements and (b) take any other steps considered necessary for progressing the proposed Scheme;
- (9) That the final proposed Scheme be brought back to Policy & Resources Committee and Full Council in October 2012.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The Government has required that local authorities take responsibility for the implementation of council tax schemes.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The final report to committee in October will detail whether any alternative options were available.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 July 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Wall', with a horizontal line drawn through it.

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 26

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

COUNCIL DECISION

SUBJECT: TREASURY MANAGEMENT POLICY
STATEMENT (INCORPORATING THE
ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY)
2011/12 - END OF YEAR REVIEW

AUTHOR: PETER SARGENT

THE DECISION

- (1) That the action taken during the second half year to meet the treasury management policy statement and practices (including the annual investment strategy) be endorsed;
- (2) That it be noted that the maximum indicator for risk agreed at 0.05% has not been exceeded;
- (3) That it be noted the authorised limit and operational boundary set by the Council has not been exceeded;
- (4) That the change in the Annual Investment Strategy 2012-13 as set out in paragraph 4.11 of the report be agreed and recommended to full Council on 19th July 2012 for approval.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Treasury management is governed by a code that is recognised as 'best and proper practice' under the Local Government Act 2003. The Code requires a minimum of two reports per year, one of which is a report looking back at the closing year. This report fulfils this requirement.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

This report sets out action taken in the six months to March 2012. No alternative options are therefore considered necessary.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 27

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: I360 LOAN AGREEMENT

AUTHOR: KATHARINE PEARCE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the structure and terms of the loan that have been agreed to date as set out in paragraphs: 4.2, 4.3, 4.7 and 4.8 of the report be noted;
- (2) That the Director of Finance and Strategic Director; Place, after consultation with the Chair of Policy & Resources Committee be authorised to finalise terms and enter into the proposed loan agreement with Brighton i360 Ltd with a target of financial close by mid September 2012 and to take all steps necessary or incidental to the completion and implementation of the agreement;
- (3) That the Head of Legal and Democratic Services be authorised to complete all necessary documentation and take all necessary action to effect completion of the proposed loan; and
- (4) That the inclusion of the commercial loan to Brighton i360 Ltd in the Capital Resources and Capital Investment Programme 2012/13 (and the following two years' capital programmes) to be funded through unsupported borrowing be approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

These were set out in the main body of the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

These were dealt with in the May Cabinet report which is attached as Appendix One.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 28

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

COUNCIL DECISION

SUBJECT: ANNUAL PERFORMANCE UPDATE OF
THE COUNCIL'S CORPORATE PLAN
2011/12

AUTHOR: MATTHEW WRAGG

THE DECISION

- (1) That the progress made against the performance measures ('Measures of Success') in the Corporate Plan, set out in Appendix 1 to the report be noted; and
- (2) That the annual performance report be referred to Full Council for approval, along with the June 2012 update report on Corporate Plan commitments.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The report recommends that Policy & Resources Committee note progress against the performance measures. It was agreed at Full Council in October 2011 that a performance update on the Corporate Plan would be presented each year.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

This annual performance report is for the Policy & Resources Committee to note and to refer to Full Council for approval. The process is consistent with the council's agreed constitution.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 29

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

COUNCIL DECISION

SUBJECT: CITY PERFORMANCE PLAN 2011/12
REPORT

AUTHOR: PAULA BLACK

THE DECISION

- (1) That the areas of good progress made in the City Performance Plan (CPP) progress report in Appendix 2 and the Performance Indicators Headline report Appendix 1 be noted;
- (2) That the future activity, but also barriers outlined in the CPP report Appendix 2 in areas of concern be noted;
- (3) That the Strategic Leadership Team be requested to use the resources at their disposal, including officers in the local authority and thematic partnerships to maintain progress and tackle issues of concern highlighted in the CPP; and
- (4) That the plan be recommended to full Council for Approval.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The council needs to ensure that it has a robust performance and risk management framework that meets the needs of the new structure and model of working.

The reports contain performance information upon which the recommendations are based.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Through consultation with CMT and SLB the proposed Performance and Risk Management Framework was deemed to be the most suitable model. This was agreed by Cabinet and the Public Service Board.

Possible alternative options to developing the City Performance Plan included not developing a plan. This was deemed unsafe and unwise by the PSB.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date

of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 30

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: CHANGING THE AGE RANGE OF
THREE PRIMARY PHASE SCHOOLS IN
PORTSLADE – FINAL DECISION

AUTHOR: GIL SWEETENHAM

THE DECISION

- (1) That the decision to proceed with each of the three proposals be endorsed;
and
- (2) That the Council at its meeting on the 19th July 2012 be recommended to confirm the statutory notices and resolve to change the age range to allow for an additional junior form of entry and expand the premises of St Peter's Community Infant School, Portslade Infant School and St Nicolas Voluntary Aided Church of England Junior School from September 2013.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The Council has a statutory duty to provide a school place for any child that wants one. Current and projected pupil numbers for the city as a whole show there is an immediate and ongoing need for additional school places in the city.

It is recommended that the proposals to change the age range of St Peter's Community Infant School, Portslade Infant School and St Nicolas Church of England Junior School and expand the premises accordingly are approved as this will provide the three additional forms of entry for junior age children needed in Portslade.

The Council believes the advantages of the creation of all through primary schools are follows:

- Greater continuity in teaching, pupil care and development under a single head teacher and teaching staff. It is very important to ensure continuity in planning the curriculum across the stages of education so that pupils make the best possible progress in learning.
- The school could offer a greater range of teaching skills, including the opportunity to appoint curriculum co-ordinators with the time to oversee the effective teaching of individual subjects across the whole 4–11 age range.
- Greater flexibility that a 4–11 school has in organising classes, deploying teachers and support staff and using resources, including buildings, more effectively.
- Closer contact with parents over a longer period of time and covering the full span of the children's primary education.
- Practical advantages to parents' e.g. same staff development days, the same school policies relating to home links, uniform, codes of conduct etc.
- Transfer to a different school environment after three years or less of

schooling might be seen as an unnecessary disruption to pupil's sense of security and well being. A positive feature of 4–11 schools is the social interaction between younger and older pupils.

The initial public consultation on options for providing the additional places showed that the majority of parents and carers of children at the current schools were happy with the proposal to create all through primary schools. Very few parents and carers were unhappy with the selected option. Subsequent consultation has shown that the number of parents and carers who do not support the proposals has further diminished. The vast majority of respondents (96%) to the consultation just prior to publication of the statutory notice supported the proposals.

The schools currently provide a range of extended services to the school community; this situation will not change as a result of these proposals.

The schools are covered by the admissions arrangements which strives to provide truly local schools which serves its most immediate community and assists in the aspirations of the Local Authority in terms of green travel arrangements.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

A paper to Cabinet in January 2012 presented the full range of options available to address the need for future places in Portslade. The proposals within this report were the preferred option for addressing this need.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 31

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: THREE YEAR STRATEGIC GRANTS
2013-16 OUTLINE BID DECISION
MAKING

AUTHOR: JONATHAN BEST

THE DECISION

- (1) That the recommended 80 applicants be invited to Full Bid Stage for Three Year Strategic Grants 2013-16; and
- (2) That the recommended alternative support package where appropriate for the 23 applicants not invited to Full Bid stage be approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Reasons for recommendations are documented throughout the appraisal process, outlined in this report & supported by the attached appendices.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Alternative options have been considered and where appropriate identified as part of the consultation exercise outlined in this report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 32

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: EAST SUSSEX, SOUTH DOWNS AND
BRIGHTON & HOVE WASTE AND
MINERALS PLAN; REQUEST FOR
DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO AGREE
AND CONSULT ON DRAFT
MODIFICATIONS

AUTHOR: MIKE HOLFORD

THE DECISION

- (1) That the analysis of the representations made on the submitted Waste and Minerals Plan be noted;
- (2) That the Strategic Director; Place be authorised, in conjunction with East Sussex County Council and the South Downs National Park Authority, to agree any draft "main modifications" to the Waste and Minerals Plan necessary to make it sound and to authorise the publication of such draft modifications for public consultation save that should any draft modification involve a major shift in the policy approach of the Waste and Minerals Plan the draft modification shall be referred by the Strategic Director of Place to the Policy and Resources Committee for approval; and
- (3) That it be noted that all modifications to the Plan will ultimately be presented to the Policy & Resources Committee and Full Council in due course as part of the adoption of the Waste and Minerals Plan.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Following Cabinet and Council's previous consideration of the WMP, public representations on the soundness of the Plan have been made and the WMP has been submitted to Government. Changes to legislation mean that a different process will now be undertaken during the public examination and to avoid undue delay, authority is sought for delegation to the Strategic Director of Place to agree any draft modifications needed to the Plan to make it sound.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

An alternative option would be not to seek delegated powers but this would delay the process of plan production and adoption.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

Date: 16 July 2012



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 33

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: BLACK ROCK - UPDATE REPORT

AUTHOR: KATHARINE PEARCE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the revised proposals submitted by Brighton Arena Limited "BAL" relating to the Black Rock site (see Appendix 2 "the Site") be rejected;
- (2) That the Site will be subject to a fresh procurement and re-marketing exercise;
- (3) That it be agreed the future strategy for the re-marketing of the Site should be worked up in conjunction with the cross party Project Board, including future possible uses on the Site (including an ice rink) being feasibility tested and subject to soft market testing, prior to the council returning to the market;
- (4) That in the interim period, it be agreed that the Site should be marketed forthwith for a temporary use to ensure that the overall appearance of the Site can be improved and the Site can be used productively until the outcome of a full procurement exercise is known;
- (5) That any temporary use of the Site is to be agreed by the Economic Development & Culture Committee, who shall take into account the recommendations of the Project Board when referring any proposed temporary disposal to Policy & Resources Committee;
- (6) That it be noted that in regard to the rejection of BAL's revised proposals in (1) above, the Development Agreement with BAL would automatically terminate on 31st July 2012.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

These are set out in the main body of the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

These are set out in the main body of the report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date

of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 34

RECORD OF HOUSING COMMITTEE

POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: APPROPRIATION OF 243 AND 245
PRESTON ROAD BRIGHTON TO THE
HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT

AUTHOR: CAROL JENKINS

THE DECISION

- (1) That the appropriation of the 19 dwellings comprising 15 flats and four bungalow units and the land at 243 and 245 Preston Road, Brighton to the council's Housing Revenue Account be agreed; and
- (2) That the earlier Cabinet and Council decisions to lease dwellings at these properties to Brighton & Hove Seaside Community Homes as part of the LDV project in September and October 2008 be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To formalise the long practice of these properties being treated as HRA properties and enable the council to proceed to refurbish units in the properties and lease them by the required deadline for the LDV project and Seaside's business plan.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Previous reports to Cabinet set out the options that had been explored and rejected for funding investment in the council's housing stock in order to bring all council homes up to standard. Leasing of the properties formerly used as temporary council housing is critical to the LDV project and Seaside's business plan. The council bears the risk if Seaside's rental income is reduced because the HRA is unable to supply vacant properties meeting the agreed leasing criteria in line with the financial model. If the properties are appropriated, any potential for backland redevelopment of the site subject to planning would benefit the HRA.

The alternative option for the properties would be retention by Policy and Resources, with the possibility of selling the site for redevelopment. A provisional valuation based on assumption of the refurbishment of 243 and 245 and the four bungalows for affordable housing obtained from Cluttons (without access to the premises) provides a market value estimate achievable from an open sale with the benefit of existing planning consents in the order of £540,000. The current planning permissions (which expire this autumn) are to convert the properties from Houses in Multiple Occupation to self contained affordable housing flats. If alternative consent could be obtained even for affordable housing, whereby the number of units could be increased, the value of the site could increase significantly. Further redevelopment of the backland on the site would be subject to planning policy and has not been included in the Cluttons valuation figure. Any capital receipt achieved would go towards supporting the council's priorities and the capital programme.

In either case the council would retain the potential to achieve more affordable development on the sites subject to planning consent.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 35

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: 1A MAJOR CLOSE BRIGHTON - SALE

AUTHOR: ANGELA DYMOTT

THE DECISION

- (1) That the freehold sale to the YMCA at the negotiated price be approved; and
- (2) That should the sale to the YMCA not proceed the marketing and sale by auction or other method by an appointed agent be approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

A much needed capital receipt will be achieved if the transaction completes that will contribute to the council's transformation Workstyles project.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The alternative of an auction sale is unlikely to yield a higher amount, particularly when the commission payable is taken into account. Moreover the proposal in this property secures the proposed use of a home for looked after children.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 36

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: PROCUREMENT OF THE CITY
DOWNLAND ESTATE MANAGEMENT
CONSULTANCY CONTRACT

AUTHOR: ANGELA DYMOTT

THE DECISION

- (1) That the retendering of the Estate Management consultancy service for the City Downland Estate for a 5 year period, with an option for up to a 2 year extension be agreed;
- (2) That the granting of delegated powers to the Strategic Director; Resources in consultation with the Leader, Chair of Policy & Resources Committee to, (a) award the contract following the recommendations of the evaluation panel and the results of the tendering process and (b) approve an extension to the contract if required dependent on performance be agreed; and
- (3) That the timetable and process, as set out in Paragraph 3.8 and Appendix 1 of the report be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To secure agreement to the re-tendering of the Estate Management consultancy contract for the council's City Downland Estate, currently provided by Smiths Gore, following the budget amendments agreed at Budget Council February 2012.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Return the management of the rural portfolio to the council's direct management. This option has been explored as covered by the Cabinet reports of July and September 2011 and the budget amendments, February 2012.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 37

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: POLLING PLACE REVIEW

AUTHOR: PAUL HOLLOWAY

THE DECISION

- (1) That the polling place for DV, East Brighton Ward, be changed from St Mark's CE Primary School to the Manor Gym;
- (2) That the polling place for PX, Hangleton & Knoll Ward, be changed from West Blatchington Primary School to the Hangleton Community Centre;
- (3) That Cottesmore St Mary's RC Primary School be retained as the polling place for NY/OZ, Hove Park and Goldsmid Wards, in the absence of any suitable alternative;
- (4) That the Clarendon Centre be used as a polling place for KS, St Peter's & North Laine Ward, instead of the Friends Centre or St Bartholomew's CE School;
- (5) That the Pavilion at Aldrington Recreation Ground, Wish Road, be used as a double polling station for the voters for SW and SX, Wish Ward, due to the unavailability of the Saxon Road Pavilion;
- (6) That the polling place for IY, Withdean Ward, be changed from Balfour Primary School to Dorothy Stringer High School;
- (7) That the Electoral Services Manager, on behalf of the Returning Officer and Electoral Registration Officer, be authorised to take the measures, as required by law, to bring the changes into effect; and
- (8) That the Returning Officer (the Chief Executive), be granted delegated authority following consultation with the Group Leaders and respective ward councillors, the designation of alternative polling places in the event of any polling place not being available at any particular election.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The council is required to review its provision of polling stations and following a consultation process identified the need to change a number of venues.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The consultation process provided for various locations to be considered and the required changes of venues were identified and considered to be the best alternative to the current sites.

Proper Officer:

Date: 16 July 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Wall', with a horizontal line drawn underneath it.

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 38

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: SUSSEX POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

AUTHOR: RICHARD TUSET

THE DECISION

- (1) That Councillor Ben Duncan (1) be nominated as the Brighton & Hove councillor to serve on the Sussex Police and Crime Panel (PCP) from the formal constitution of the Panel and (2) be appointed to the Panel if he accepts nomination and that Councillor Matt Follett be nominated as the named substitute for the PCP;
- (2) That Councillor Warren Morgan (1) be nominated as the Brighton & Hove co-opted member to serve on the Sussex PCP, as stipulated in paragraph 14a of the PCP constitution (Annex 3), and (2) be appointed to the PCP subject to ratification at the first meeting of the PCP; and that Councillor Alan Robins be nominate as the named substitute for Cllr Morgan;
- (3) That the proposed PCP constitution and panel arrangements as set out in Annex 3 of the report be ratified;
- (4) That in addition to the Policy and Resources Committee, the Chief Executive be given full delegated power to exercise the Council's functions in relation to the establishment and maintenance of the Sussex PCP;
- (5) That the Committee note ongoing concerns regarding PCP equality and operational issues as set out in paragraphs 4.8 to 4.10 of the report and mandate nominated Members to address these during future PCP meetings.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The Council is required to appoint to the PCP.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

There are no alternative options to the appointment process.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 39

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: BUDGET & POLICY FRAMEWORK
PROCESS

AUTHOR: ABRAHAM GHEBRE-GHIORGHIS

THE DECISION

- (1) That the proposed process for approving the Budget and Policy Framework and other items reserved to Council as set out in the attached tables A and B to the report be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The proposed process is recommended so that there is a clear understanding of how and where budget and policy framework items would be considered before being submitted to Full Council.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Budget & Policy Framework items could all be taken through the Policy & Resources Committee; however it is felt that the number of items would mean that the committee would be over burdened. It is also felt that it would be more appropriate for respective items to be considered by the relevant policy committee.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:



Date: 16 July 2012

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 41

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: I360 LOAN AGREEMENT - EXEMPT
CATEGORY 3

AUTHOR: KATHARINE PEARCE

THE DECISION

(1) That the report be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

As detailed in the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

As detailed in the report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 42

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: 1A MAJOR CLOSE - EXEMPT
CATEGORY 3

AUTHOR: ANGELA DYMOTT

THE DECISION

(1) That the recommendations contained in the report be approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

As detailed in the Part 1 report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

As detailed in the Part 1 report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 43

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: PROPOSED OPTIONS FOR THE
PROVISION OF 3 JUNIOR FORMS
CENTRAL HOVE - SITE ACQUISITION -
EXEMPT CATEGORY 3

AUTHOR: GIL SWEETENHAM

THE DECISION

(1) The recommendations contained in the report were approved.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

As detailed in the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

As detailed in the report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 44

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: BLACK ROCK - UPDATE REPORT -
EXEMPT CATEGORY 3

AUTHOR: KATHARINE PEARCE

THE DECISION

(1) That the report be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

As detailed in the Part 1 report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

As detailed in the Part 1 report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 45

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

COUNCIL DECISION

SUBJECT: APPOINTMENT OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE - EXEMPT CATEGORY 1

AUTHOR: ABRAHAM GHEBRE-GHIORGHIS

THE DECISION

- (1) That the appointment of Catherine Vaughan, to be the Acting Chief Executive and Head of Paid Service for the Council pending the appointment of a permanent Chief executive be approved;
- (2) That the appointment be on a salary of £140,000 per annum and that the appointment takes effect from date of the termination of contract of employment of the current Chief Executive.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The appointment of the Chief executive requires Council approval on the recommendation of a Committee of the Council and hence this report.

As stated above, the Council needs to appoint a Head of Paid Service and, for practical and constitutional reasons needs to appoint an Acting Chief Executive and hence this report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Council is obliged by law to appoint a Head of Paid Service. That is therefore not an option. There is a theoretical option of not appointing an Acting Chief executive, but it would be difficult to make consequential adjustments in time and that would risk serious disruption to the smooth running of Council services.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

12 July 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 45A

RECORD OF POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: SUPPORTED BUS SERVICES
NETWORK - UPDATE - EXEMPT
CATEGORY 3

AUTHOR: MARK PRIOR, MARK IRELAND

THE DECISION

(1) That the recommendations contained in the report be agreed.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

As detailed in the report.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

As detailed in the report.

Proper Officer:

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Date: 16 July 2012

Signed:



CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

