

BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL
ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE

4.00pm 17 MARCH 2015

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

MINUTES

Present: Councillors West (Chair), Deane (Deputy Chair), Cox (Opposition Spokesperson), Mitchell (Group Spokesperson), Robins (Group Spokesperson), Daniel, Davey, Hawtree, G Theobald and Wealls

PART ONE

82 PROCEDURAL BUSINESS

82(a) Declarations of substitutes

82.1 Councillor Hawtree was present as substitute for Councillor Buckley.

82.2 Councillor Wealls was present as substitute for Councillor Janio.

82(b) Declarations of interest

82.3 There were none.

82(c) Exclusion of press and public

82.4 In accordance with section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 ("the Act"), the Committee considered whether the press and public should be excluded from the meeting during an item of business on the grounds that it was likely, in view of the business to be transacted or the nature of proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present during that item, there would be disclosure to them of confidential information (as defined in section 100A(3) of the Act) or exempt information (as defined in section 100(I) of the Act).

82.5 **RESOLVED-** That the press and public not be excluded

83 MINUTES

83.1 **RESOLVED-** That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 19 January be approved and signed as the correct record.

84 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING OF THE CITY SUSTAINABILITY PARTNERSHIP (FOR INFORMATION)

84.1 **RESOLVED-** That the minutes of the previous meeting of the City Sustainability Partnership be noted.

85 ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE URGENCY SUB-COMMITTEE

85.1 **RESOLVED-** That the outcome of the meeting of the Urgency Sub-Committee on 16 February 2015 be noted.

86 CHAIRS COMMUNICATIONS

86.1 The Chair provided the following communications:

“Sadly this will be our last committee meeting of this Council term.

Over the past four years we have worked firstly through the cabinet system, then the separate Transport and Environment & Sustainability Committees, and now finally this combined committee.

It has been a busy time, for us all, in which we have worked hard and achieved an immense amount for the city across all aspects of the committees brief.

We have implemented 20mph making our roads safer and more welcoming for all road users. And we have invested and innovated in key sustainable transport corridors, winning top international awards.

We have rebuilt the deadly Seven Dials and Vogue Gyrotory junctions as safe places for people to be.

Air quality has improved, in most areas, and with our low emission zone we are working in partnership with the buses and taxis to tackle the more stubborn problems.

Communal recycling now complements the communal waste service, making it easier to recycle, and the service redesign paves the way for further improvement.

We have extended parking zones at the request of residents and implemented parking management in two major parks.

I'm particularly proud of our transformation of the Level, and our work now with the National Park to restore and enhance historic Stanmer Park;

But above all I'm proud of our wider partnership work which has led to international recognition, of our special environment, as a UN Biosphere Reserve.

Out of the lime light officers from all our service areas are daily making great strides, and doing so despite the financial challenges we face:

for example our environmental health officers who continue to support improving food safety standards in restaurants; and our sustainability team who have supported the main-streaming of One Planet Living and the implementation of the city-wide Sustainability Action Plan.

I would like to lead in thanking all our officers for their skill and dedication, and for delivering so many proud achievements.

And I would like to lead our thanks of all partner organisations, communities and individual residents, for offering us their views, support as well as challenge along the way.

And finally I would like to pay tribute to the contribution and support of fellow members, from all three political parties, who despite our differences at times, have most often found agreement on what to do best for the city.

We know some members of the committee are standing down from the Council in May, so in particular I would like to thank them for their great contribution and wish them well in the future.

And while not particularly wishing to single out any one member, I think it we should recognise the exceptional contribution of Councillor Ian Davey taking transport to another level in this city. Thank you Ian.

And to those of us who might be returning, there is of course plenty more to do for the city and I am very much looking forward to the opportunity of working together with you, and new colleagues, again after May”.

87 CALL OVER

87.1 The following items on the agenda were reserved for discussion:

- Item 89: Consideration of options for Mile Oak Recreation Ground
- Item 92: Brighton Sea Cadets volunteer permits
- Item 94: Surrenden & Fiveways resident parking scheme consultation
- Item 95: Valley Gardens

87.2 The Democratic Services Officer confirmed that the items listed above had been reserved for discussion and that the following reports on the agenda with the recommendations therein had been approved and adopted:

- Item 90: Health and Safety service plan 2015-16
- Item 91: Official Feed and Food Control service plan 2015-16
- Item 93: Highway Asset Management Strategy
- Item 96: 20mph- Phase 3- Speed Limit Orders

88 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

(a) Petitions

(i) Reduce Pay & Display fees in Fiveways- Clair Letton

88.1 The Committee considered a petition signed by 1170 people requesting the council to amend the Zone J pay and display parking scheme to allow short-term free parking for customers visiting Preston Drove businesses.

88.2 The Chair provided the following response:

“Thank you for your petition.

Paid parking for visitors is a well-established principle within parking policy across the city and the recent changes have increased the availability of parking for visitors to the businesses in Preston Drove

The Council does have concerns regarding the enforcement of limited waiting free bays and although we have introduced free parking in two specific locations in outer areas the current policy agreed at a recent ETS Committee stated that parking schemes within and just outside the central zones would not be considered for free parking due to the demand for parking.

The Area J parking scheme is adjacent to the central Brighton parking schemes so a change to free parking in this zone would be a change in the current agreed policy.

Committee will recall we developed the clear policy on free parking periods in response to similar concerns raised in regard of Matlock Road which is in a zone not adjacent to the central Brighton zones.

However, due to the possibility of a new zone close by and the impending Circus Street development, I suggest flexibility in this case and I will ask officers to proceed with the request”

88.3 **RESOLVED-** That the petition be noted.

(ii) Include Hollingbury Park Avenue and Hollingbury Terrace in the 2015 Surrenden/Fiveways resident parking scheme consultation- Simon Conroy

88.4 The Committee considered a petition signed by 217 people requesting the council to include Hollingbury Park Avenue and Hollingbury Terrace in the upcoming parking scheme consultation in the area nearby.

88.5 The Chair provided the following response:

“Thank you for your petition.

Officers have looked into this and are happy to recommend including Hollingbury Park Avenue/ Hollingbury Terrace as it creates a natural boundary and connects to the current consultation area.

After reviewing the consultation proposals including looking at these specific streets I feel it would be appropriate and following ward Councillor support, to recommend incorporating Hollingbury Park Avenue and Hollingbury Terrace as it creates a natural boundary and connects to the current consultation area”.

88.6 **RESOLVED-** That the petition be noted.

(iii) Hollingbury Road resident parking consultation

88.7 The Committee considered a petition signed by 142 people requesting the council to include Hollingbury Road in the proposed Fiveways/Surrenden Road resident parking scheme consultation.

88.8 The Chair provided the following response:

Thank you for your petition.

Whilst I appreciate your concerns, the technical officer view is that Hollingbury Road does not connect to the current consultation area. The consultation proposed is also for a new area not an extension to the current Area J.

Therefore, this would need to be considered as part of another area when the future parking scheme timetable is considered later in the year.

88.9 **RESOLVED-** That the petition be noted.

(iv) George Street, Hove to open to traffic at 4pm- Councillor Wealls

- 88.10 The Committee considered a petition signed by 91 people requesting that George Street open to traffic at 4pm rather than the current opening of 6pm.
- 88.11 The Chair noted that his response was based on the information supplied that 55 people had signed the petition. On the basis that the new figure represented the majority of business on the street, he requested that officers look into the issue again to examine the feasibility of a revised opening time.
- 88.12 Councillor Hawtree noted that the majority of shopkeepers had signed the petition but any investigation should also seek the views of residents and customers to provide a balanced view.
- 88.13 **RESOLVED-** That the Committee receive a report on the matter to its next meeting.

(b) Written Questions

(i) Refuse collection in Regency ward- Catherine Wilson

- 88.14 Catherine Wilson put the following question to the meeting:

Our rubbish and recycling bins in Regency are often overflowing and surrounded by fly tipped items like mattresses and rubble. To minimise health and safety issues and avoid the need to walk around the ward trying to find a bin which is not overflowing or surrounded with rubbish, can bins have a sticker with a bin number and free phone number for residents to ring or text when they are full or when fly tipping has occurred?

- 88.15 The Chair provided the following response:

“Thank you for your question regarding communal rubbish and recycling bins. Communal refuse and recycling bins should not be overflowing and in instances where they do officers are looking to resolve these issues. In your area officers are in touch with representatives of the Clifton Montpelier Powis Community Alliance (CMPCA) and are organising meetings to look at any improvements that can be made to address issues with bins overflowing. Unfortunately some people do fly tip bulky items next to communal rubbish bins rather than taking them to the tip or arranging for them to be collected. Where we can follow this up and our streets crews collect the items when they see them or when they are reported to the service. In the coming year we will also start a program of refurbishment of communal bins and as part of that we will look at improving signage on bins advising residents what can and cannot be disposed of in the bins and encouraging people to recycle as much as possible. We will also include clear contact details for people to report any problems and officers are looking at an asset management system as part of this work”.

- 88.16 Catherine Wilson asked the following supplementary question:

“What is the expected timescale for refurbishment?”

- 88.17 The Chair provided the following response:

“It is a rolling programme that is to start this year. Where the first emphasis goes is down to officers judgement and discussions with communities as to where the emphasis is necessary. I’m sure officers are already in discussions with your community and you are also flagging up your particular problems and I’d imagine you would be a fairly high priority”.

(ii) Grit bins in Regency ward- Sarah Cooper

88.18 Catherine Wilson put the following question:

“I know of neighbours in Regency who have slipped and injured themselves in icy weather in Victoria Street and cars have crashed into parked cars on the corner of Clifton Place & Terrace. Can we please have more grit bins and can all of them be regularly filled during icy and snowy conditions?”

88.19 The Chair provided the following response:

“The total number of bins Brighton & Hove Council now maintain is over 420. Compared to other authorities, this is a high quantity within what is a relatively small geographical area. This is because we recognise that Brighton & Hove is a mainly urban area built on hills.

However, provision of grit bins needs to be balanced against the capability to refill them within a reasonable timescale, as well as available resources. It takes two weeks for supply trucks to visit and fill all of the bins. Due to budget constraints following the 2012 budget-setting process, grit bins will only be filled once at the start of the winter season unless there is heavy snowfall. This means that even if a grit bin is emptied immediately after being filled, there will be no further refills except during a severe weather event. This was agreed at Full Budget Council and ratified as part of the 2012-13 Highways Winter Service Plan at Transport Committee in October 2012.

Regency ward borders the sea and is therefore warmer than some of the outlying areas; it does not receive the same heavy snowfall as more hilly outlying areas, and what snow does fall tends to melt sooner. The area does not meet the council’s agreed criteria for the provision of grit bins which aims to supply provision for colder areas with steep hills and junctions. The surrounding roads of Montpelier Rd, Western Rd and Dyke Rd are on gritted routes, and there is a grit bin at the junction of Dyke Rd and Clifton Terrace. This is not as thorough a provision as could be wished, but unfortunately it is the best that can be offered within the constraints which we have explained above”.

(c) Deputations

(i) Make St Andrew’s Road, Portslade Safer- Patricia Sauer

88.20 The Committee considered a Deputation presented by Patricia Sauer identifying safety issues along St Andrews Road and requested the council to work with residents to urgently improve road and pedestrian safety.

88.21 The Chair provided the following response:

“The Committee thanks the residents group of St Andrews Road for presenting its case and particularly for its efforts to gather the views of residents throughout the street. The

response you have achieved from nearly half of all households is very good and I know the advice of the Council's Road Safety Team has been helpful to you in that regard. As residents will be aware, St Andrew's Road is both a residential street itself and also provides access to the residential areas to the north and south of the route, as well as forming a direct and convenient link between Boundary Road and Church Road. The route is therefore attractive to a wide range of users including those you have described in your deputation, and I have accompanied parents using this route to access nearby schools.

The traffic and environmental issues that the road has faced over many years and those described in your deputation, are recognised by this Committee and local councillors and many of these have been treated with the introduction of speed cushions, kerb build-outs and entry treatments, all of which have contributed to the route's good safety record – only one injury collision has been reported in the street since 2010.

The use of the route by heavy goods vehicles and buses is difficult to restrict without also limiting access to public transport and the convenience of deliveries, but I will ask officers to continue their supportive engagement with residents to try and find solutions to go some way alleviating the impacts of these activities”.

88.22 **RESOLVED-** That the Deputation is noted.

89 CONSIDERATION OF OPTIONS FOR MILE OAK RECREATION GROUND

- 89.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director Environment, Development & Housing setting out options for improvement and refurbishment of Mile Oak recreation ground following a request by the Committee to receive a report on the matter at its meeting on 25 November 2014.
- 89.2 Referencing correspondence sent by the Chair of the Mile Oak Action Group (MORAG), Councillor Robins stated had clearer made their view that there were too many issues that were unclear in the report and that there the organisation needed assistance from the council. Councillor Robins noted his concern that the proposed wild gym was more suited to older children and there was a lack of provision for younger people and that there appear a lack of ownership of the issue.
- 89.3 The Chair stated that he found the report to be quite clear that officers were willing to assist MORAG wherever they could but this was in the context of a limited capacity to do so due to ongoing budget pressures and the associated backlog of maintenance.
- 89.4 Councillor Cox stated that he felt the council had to take a realistic approach as whatever the outcome of the local and central government elections, it was clear there would be no additional, significant funding provided. Councillor Cox stated that he had visited Portslade Aldridge Community Academy (PACA) that morning and had seen first-hand their innovative programmes particularly with sport activities. Councillor Cox stated that PACA were keen to work with MORAG to improve the park and the wider issue of community enterprises needed to be looked at.
- 89.5 Councillor Daniel stated that she understood resident's frustration at being told there was limited funding or officer capability when the council was investing £400,000 in Values Training for its senior staff.

- 89.6 Councillor Deane stated that she understood resident's disappointment adding that it had perhaps been a mistake to invest large sums into Playbuilder equipment that had a 10 year life expiry when simpler, sustainable equipment was often desired.
- 89.7 Councillor Theobald noted that the Friends of Carden Park group had been enthusiastic in its approach and had made grant applications to improve its equipment that had been successful. Councillor Theobald suggested that may be the way forward for other parks in the city.
- 89.8 Councillor Mitchell stated that MORAG were willing to undertake work into applying for funding but the group needed advice from council officers and she hoped this would be forthcoming.

89.9 **RESOLVED-**

- 1) That the Committee notes that the Open Spaces Strategy and supporting action plans will set out recommended priorities for the future management of play areas in the city
- 2) That the Committee notes that officers are working with MORAG to make some improvements to landscaping and the installation of a 'Wild Gym'
- 3) That the Committee agrees that officers will support the local community in exploring sources of grant funding to secure capital funding for more significant improvements.

90 HEALTH AND SAFETY SERVICE PLAN 2015-16

- 90.1 **RESOLVED-** That the Committee approves the proposed Health & Safety Service Plan 2015/2016 at Appendix 1.

91 OFFICIAL FEED AND FOOD CONTROL SERVICE PLAN 2015/16

- 91.1 **RESOLVED-** That the committee agrees the Official Feed and Food Controls Service Plan 2015/2016 set out in the appendix to this report.

92 BRIGHTON SEA CADETS VOLUNTEER PERMITS

- 92.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director Environment, Development & Housing that made recommendations in relation to the request from Committee to receive a report investigating the specific parking problems experienced by volunteers working for Brighton Sea Cadets in relation to parking restrictions recently put into place.
- 92.2 On behalf of the Conservative Group, Councillor Theobald moved a motion to delete recommendation 2.1 to be replaced with the following:

2.1 That the Environment, Transport and Sustainability Committee instruct officers to issue sufficient permits to Brighton Sea Cadets forthwith

- 92.3 The motion was formally seconded by Councillor Wealls.

- 92.4 Introducing the amendment, Councillor Theobald stated that he had no problem with the Committee receiving a later report on a volunteer parking permit policy review but he felt strongly that this specific organisation and its volunteers urgently needed the council's assistance.
- 92.5 Councillor Mitchell stated that she agreed with the principle of the proposals of the report but had concerns that waiting until October to conduct a wider review would put into question the ongoing viability of the organisation. Councillor Mitchell asked if it was possible to issue temporary permits pending the wider review with assurance given to other organisations that might petition the council for the same that a policy review was underway with the results issued in October 2015.
- 92.6 The Chair stated that it was his view that the above suggestion could set an uncertain precedence and also noted his concern for the impact upon residents paying for permits in the zone.
- 92.7 Councillor Davey requested legal advice on how the council would go about setting a criteria for qualification in the absence of a specific policy and how it would handle requests made by other organisations should permits be granted on this occasion.
- 92.8 The Deputy Head of Law advised that issuing temporary permits may instigate applications from other organisations. As the council had no clear policy on the matter of volunteer permits, a refusal of any subsequent requests could lead to a legal challenge to the council as to whether it was acting logically, fairly and reasonably.
- 92.9 Councillor Daniel stated that she hoped the policy review would also consider the social value of volunteer organisations and asked whether the council could provide financial support to Brighton Sea Cadets pending the review.
- 92.10 On behalf of the Conservative Group, Councillor Theobald moved a revised motion to delete recommendation 2.1 to be replaced with the following:
- 2.1 That the Environment, Transport and Sustainability Committee instruct officers that five permits are to be issued to the Brighton Sea Cadets on a temporary basis until a full review in October 2015.***
- 92.11 The motion was formally seconded by Councillor Mitchell.
- 92.12 The Deputy Head of Law advised that whilst the council still had no policy on which to assess permit requests, the revised amendment recommending temporary permits on a specific and clear time-limited basis would reduce the risk to the authority in the event of challenge.
- 92.13 The Parking Infrastructure Manager advised that officers had concerns that the temporary permits would mainly be used between 6pm-8pm which was a busy period in the area as people arrived home and this may lead to related complaints from residents about parking availability.
- 92.14 The Chair called an adjournment to the meeting at 17:35. The meeting reconvened at 17.45.

92.15 The Deputy Head of Law set out a suggested revision to the motion set out above as follows:

2.1 That the Environment, Transport & Sustainability Committee, on the basis of the specific circumstances identified at Committee, agrees to provide five temporary permits to Brighton Sea Cadets to be reviewed upon the adoption of the parking permit policy in October 2015

92.16 The Chair then put the motion to a vote that carried.

92.17 **RESOLVED-** That the Environment, Transport & Sustainability Committee, on the basis of the specific circumstances identified at Committee, agrees to provide five temporary permits to Brighton Sea Cadets to be reviewed upon the adoption of the parking permit policy in October 2015

93 HIGHWAY ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

93.1 **RESOLVED-** That Members support the ongoing development of the Council's Highway Asset Management Plan and instructs officers to explore financial models for determining investment levels that support and maintain carriageway assets at safe and appropriate levels of service.

94 SURRENDEN & FIVEWAYS RESIDENT PARKING SCHEME CONSULTATION

94.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Environment, Development & Housing that sought agreement to proceed with a resident parking scheme consultation in the Surrenden and Fiveways area.

94.2 The Chair moved a motion to add a recommendation as shown in bold italics below:

2.3 That the Committee agrees to expand the consultation area as set out at Appendix A to include Hollingbury Park Avenue and Hollingbury Terrace

94.3 Councillor Davey formally seconded the motion.

94.4 The Chair put the motion to the vote which carried.

94.5 **RESOLVED-**

- 1) That the Committee agrees to proceed with a Resident Parking Scheme Consultation in the Surrenden & Fiveways area in June 2015.
- 2) That the Committee agrees that the consultation gives the option of a 9am-8pm full scheme for five days (Monday to Friday) or seven days as part of a new parking scheme.
- 3) That the Committee agrees to expand the consultation area as set out at Appendix A to include Hollingbury Park Avenue and Hollingbury Terrace

95 VALLEY GARDENS

95.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director Environment, Development & Housing that updated Members on Valley Gardens Phase 1 and 2 progress since October 2014 and sought approval to progress the project toward implementation in 2015/16.

95.2 Councillor Mitchell moved a motion to delete recommendation 2.2 to be replaced with the following:

2.2 That all decision making relating to expenditure on the Valley Gardens transport scheme is halted until after the forthcoming elections to enable a thorough review of all three phases of this major scheme in terms of financing, design and governance to be carried out

95.3 Councillor Robins formally seconded the motion.

95.4 Introducing the motion, Councillor Mitchell stated her concern that there was no cross-party agreement from the Committee on what was a major transport project requiring unity. Councillor Mitchell stated that the Labour & Co-operative Group had serious apprehension with the significant contribution of £4m required from the Local Transport Plan (LTP) allocation and that maintenance of the trees in the garden that would have no allocated budget and would have to be self-funding but with now clear indication how. Councillor Mitchell added that the recommendations also proposed ceding decision-making on road layout to the Project Management Board, an issue for further concern, particularly regarding governance. Councillor Mitchell explained her worry that the general public was unaware of the full scope and implications of the scheme and strongly recommended that no further action was undertaken until after the upcoming election when all parties could work towards a way forward.

95.5 Councillor Davey stated that he could not understand the Labour & Co-operative Groups continued opposition to the Valley Gardens transport scheme. Councillor Davey noted that the Leader of the Labour & Co-operative Group had been quoted in the local press as stating he would scrap the scheme which, Councillor Davey observed, would entail returning £14 million of funding secured to the Local Enterprise Partnership. Councillor Davey explained he found this particularly confusing as in the LTP document produced in 2006; Councillor Mitchell had introduced the idea of the Valley Gardens project stating that it was important part of improving air quality, accessibility, congestion, road safety and quality of life in the city. Furthermore, Councillor Davey noted that in the document, it was proposed to considerably reduce carriageway and junction space whilst improving traffic flow, much as proposed in the current design. Councillor Davey supplemented that Councillor Mitchell had personally approached him in 2007 requesting his support to redevelop and improve Valley Gardens which he had given and the administration were now following through with that pledge. Councillor Davey referred to quotes attributed to Councillor Mitchell in the local press in 2008 criticising the then administration for not implementing the Valley Gardens project and asserting doubt whether the project could ever be introduced. Councillor Davey stated he felt it was Councillor Mitchell now trying to stop the implementation of the project and he found this approach perplexing as the scheme would complement the work carried out at the Level; the work ongoing at St Peter's Church and would eventually spread to the seafront. Councillor Davey stated

that the proposals were a once in a generation opportunity for the city and he found the Labour & Co-operative Group's position to be a betrayal and neglect of the city in favour of cheap political gain.

- 95.6 Councillor Robins stated that the amendment was clear that the Labour & Co-operative Group were not proposing to scrap the scheme but to review it. Councillor Robins added that if the scheme was a viable one now, it would still be after the election.
- 95.7 Councillor Hawtree stated that he found the proposals to represent an exciting project and opposition to it appeared to be short-sighted and concerning. Councillor Hawtree added that the Committee were presented with an opportunity to revitalise a crucial part of the city.
- 95.8 Councillor Mitchell stated that she had made clear the importance of Valley Gardens over a number of years; the difference now was that urban realm funding was almost non-existent and the council's finance was in a completely different position. Councillor Mitchell noted that the most recent LTP report considered at Policy & Resources Committee set out that the council were still paying back money from the 2013/14 allocation and borrowing from the 2015/16 allocation to use for the current financial year. Councillor Mitchell stated that she was extremely concerned that there was no clear indication of how the Valley Gardens scheme would be funded and a clearer picture of how the increase in trees and plants would be maintained was very much needed.
- 95.9 Councillor Cox expressed his dissatisfaction with the position taken by the Labour & Co-operative Group and he believed there would come a time when the issue would become a source of embarrassment. Councillor Cox supplemented that it was his view that opposition to the scheme arose from political gesturing and in the statements given; there were indications that the Labour & Co-operative Group would support the scheme after the election. Councillor Cox stated that he found it bizarre that Labour Members wished to return £8m of funding and risk the city's credibility with the LEP and put in danger future funding efforts. Councillor Cox stated that he would be supporting the scheme as it was the right thing for the city.
- 95.10 Councillor Theobald stated that in its current form, Valley Gardens had an extremely complex route layout and pedestrian route. Councillor Theobald noted that he had recently walked from Brighton Station to Edward Street and had found navigation through the area very difficult. The proposals provided the opportunity to make a key area of the city work to the benefit of its residents and he supported a project to make the area what it was intended to be used for.
- 95.11 Councillor Deane noted the concerns of the Labour & Co-operative Group regarding road layout and enquired as to why these had not been raised at the Project Board.
- 95.12 Councillor Mitchell explained that the Labour & Co-operative Group had declined to take a seat on the Project Board on the basis of their great concern regarding governance arrangements and delegated powers.
- 95.13 Councillor Daniel asked for clarification on whether the road layout design would be considered by the Committee.

95.14 The Senior Project Manager clarified that the revised road layout had already been considered and agreed by the Committee in October 2014.

95.15 The Chair stated that any major changes would be reported to the Committee.

95.16 The Chair put the Labour & Co-operative motion to a vote which failed.

95.17 The Chair put the substantive recommendation to a vote which passed.

- 1) That Committee notes progress since October 2014.
- 2) That Committee agrees the next project stage (Landscape and Highways Technical Design) should commence under guidance of the Project Management Board.

96 20MPH - PHASE 3 - SPEED LIMIT ORDERS

96.1 **RESOLVED-** That, having taken account of all duly made representations and objections, the Environment Transport & Sustainability Committee approves as advertised the following order:

- Brighton & Hove (Phase 3, Area 4) (20mph Speed Limit) Order 20** (TRO-4c-2015)

97 ITEMS REFERRED FOR FULL COUNCIL

97.1 No items were referred to Full Council for information.

The meeting concluded at 6.45pm

Signed

Chair

Dated this

day of