

Appendix C

Area D Moulsecoomb Residents Parking Scheme Review 2019 (2)

Background

A resident parking scheme was implemented in the Moulsecoomb Area (Area D) in June 2013. It was agreed in the parking priority timetable set in 2017 that a review be included of both Area B: Coldean and Area D: Moulsecoomb, Match and Event Day Resident Parking Schemes. Properties from 9 streets (471 addresses) were missed during the initial review so were written to separately

Headline Findings

- 30% of respondents are satisfied or very satisfied with the current Area D parking scheme.
- 51.4% of respondents are happy for the scheme to remain the same?

Methodology

In January 2020, the council wrote to 471 properties in 9 roads within the Moulsecoomb Area (Area D)¹. A prepaid envelope for reply was included and respondents were also invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal: Citizen Space should they wish to. 40 (93.0%) responses received were by mail and 3 (7.0%) online. The consultation ran from 31 January to 28 February 2020.

Results

43 valid responses² were received from within the scheme boundary giving a response rate of 9.1%. People were asked how satisfied they are with the current Area D parking scheme? (n³=40)

	Number	%
Very Satisfied	5	12.5
Satisfied	7	17.5
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	10	25.0
Dissatisfied	9	22.5
Very dissatisfied	9	22.5
Total	40	100

People were then asked if they were happy for the scheme to remain the same:
(n=35)

	Number	%
--	--------	---

¹ 471 addresses

² 3 responses were removed from the analysis for the following reasons: 1 gave no address details and 2 from outside the area.

³ n = number of people answering this question, not everyone answers every question

Yes	18	51.4
No	17	48.6
Total	35	100

How satisfied are you with the Mouslecoomb Match and Event Day parking scheme. Replies by street are:

How satisfied are you with the Match and Event Day parking scheme? (n=40)	Number of properties mailed	Response rate (%)	Satisfied or very satisfied		Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied		Dissatisfied or very dissatisfied	
			Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Highbrook Close	66	6.1	0	0	1	25.0	3	75.0
Highway Close	12	16.7	0	0	1	50.0	1	50.0
Home Farm Road	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lewes Road	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ryelands Drive	78	10.3	0	0	1	12.5	7	87.5
Selsfield Drive	139	9.4	9	69.2	2	15.4	2	15.4
The Highway	55	7.3	3	75.0	0	0	1	25.0
Thorndean Road	92	9.9	0	0	5	55.6	4	44.4
Wild Park Close	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	471	9.1	12	30.0	10	25.0	18	45.0

People were asked if they were happy for the scheme to remain the same? Replies by street are (n=35)

Street	Yes		No	
	Number	%	Number	%
Highbrook Close	2	50.0	2	50.0
Highway Close	1	100	0	0
Home Farm Road	0	0	0	0
Lewes Road	0	0	0	0
Ryelands Drive	0	0	8	100
Selsfield Drive	9	75.0	3	25.0
The Highway	3	75.0	1	25.0
Thorndean Road	3	50.0	3	50.0
Wild Park Close	0	0	0	0
Total	18	51.4	17	48.6

Respondents could make comments on what works well, what works not so well and also general comments about the scheme:

People were asked their opinions on:

What is going well?	Number of times mentioned
No problem, everything is going well	1
What is not going so well	
There's not enough enforcement / need more wardens	14
it needs to be easier to renew permits/ can't buy permits online/ permits should last for more than a year	3
There's not enough spaces/ I still can't park near my home	3
People are still parking inconsiderably	1
Stop verge parking	1
There's in-commuting on match days/ people are selling permits	1
Do you have any other suggested changes or comments?	
Illegal parking should be monitored on non-match days/ extend to a full scheme	4
Students and HMO's should get a limited number of permits/ the scheme should extend to student parking	3
Give more notice of non-football events/ I don't know when events are happening	1

Demographic Information

Age (n=44)

Age	Number	%
U18	0	0
18-24	1	2.3
25-34	5	11.4
35-44	5	11.4
45-54	9	20.5
55-64	8	18.2
65-74	7	15.9
75+	9	20.5
Total	44	100

Gender (n=47)

Gender	Number	%
Male	14	29.8
Female	33	70.2
Non-Binary	0	0
Other	0	0
Total	47	100

Gender Identity (n=39)

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	38	97.4
No	1	2.6
Total	39	100

Disability (n=45)

Disability	Number	%
Yes, a little	8	17.8
Yes, a lot	20	44.4
No	17	37.8
Total	45	100

Of those who answered “yes”, disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you.	Number
Physical impairment	13
Sensory impairment	1
Learning disability/ difficulty	0
Long-standing illness	12
Mental health condition	9
Development condition	0
Autistic Spectrum	2
Other	6

Ethnic Origin (n=46)

Ethnic Origin		Number	%
White	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	35	76.1
	Irish	0	0
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0	0
	Any other white background	4	8.7
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	0	0
	Indian	0	0
	Pakistani	1	2.2
	Chinese	0	0
	Any other Asian background	0	0
Black or Black British	African	0	0
	Caribbean	0	0
	Any other Black background	0	0
Mixed	Asian & White	1	2.2
	Black African & White	0	0
	Black Caribbean & White	1	2.2
	Any other mixed background	0	0
Any other ethnic group	Arab	1	2.2
	Any other ethnic group	1	2.2
Total		46	100

Sexual Orientation (n=46)

Sexual Orientation	Number	%
Bisexual	0	0
Gay Man	1	2.2
Heterosexual/ straight	43	93.5
Lesbian/ Gay Woman	1	2.2
Other	1	2.2
Total	46	100

Religious Belief (n=47)

Religious Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion or belief	14	29.8
Buddhist	0	0
Christian	23	48.9
Hindu	0	0
Jain	0	0
Jewish	1	2.1
Muslim	5	10.6
Pagan	1	2.1
Sikh	0	0
Agnostic	0	0
Atheist	0	0
Other	2	4.3
Other philosophical belief	1	2.1
Total	47	100

Carer (n=46)

Are you a carer	Number	%
Yes	9	19.6
No	37	80.4
Total	46	100

If yes, do you care for a:	Number
Parent	3
Partner or Spouse	1
Child with special needs	1
Friend	1
Other family member	3
Other	0

Armed Forces

Armed Forces	Yes		No	
	Number	%	Number	%
Are you currently serving in the UK armed forces?	0	0	43	100
Have you ever served in the UK armed forces?	6	13.6	38	86.4
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	3	7.3	38	92.7