

Appendix 4 Stanmer Village Parking Management Consultation

Results and comments.

The consultation period ran from 18th November 2020 to 29th November 2020.

There were 28 responses out of a possible 36 with 21 in favour of a scheme and 4 against. (The “other” refers to one response which was unclear as to which address group it applied to however, they were hand delivered to qualifying addresses so the response has been included in the results) Not all of the questions were answered by everybody leading to differences in the totals.

Table 1 Who has responded to the consultation? # /out of #

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business/organisation	Other	Total
Number of responses	15/16	6/7	2/8	4/5	1	28/36
For scheme	11	5	2	2	1	21
Against Scheme	4	0	0	0	0	4

The main area of low response was the Old Stable Block, this may be due to having sufficient private parking and are largely unaffected by the scheme.

Table 2 Number of vehicles in the household

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business/organisation	Other	Total
Number of cars	21	13	3	11	2	50
Number of vans	2	1	0	5	0	8
Number of motorbikes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	23	14	3	16	2	58

Table 3 How many vehicles would you want to park on the street in Stanmer Village

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business/organisation	Other	Total
Number of cars	23	8	0	8	0	39
Number of vans	2	0	0	5	0	7
Number of motorbikes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	25	8	0	13	0	46

Some residents of Stanmer park do not live in the village itself, for example at the courtyard, Upper and Lower lodges, should they be able to register their vehicle to park in the village free of charge?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	3	5	1	1	0	10
No	11	1	1	0	1	14

If no should they be able to register their vehicle for a fee?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	1	4	1	1	1	8
No	11	0	1	0	0	12

Visitors will need to display a valid permit in the windscreen of their vehicle, should this also be available to those operating a commercial business in the village, where they don't have other private parking space available?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	6	6	2	2	1	17
No	6	0	0	0	0	6

Would you be willing to pay a small fee to register a visitor online via a live booking system?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	2	6	0	0	1	9
No	13	0	2	1	0	16

As the number of spaces in the village is limited we are proposing that there should be a limit on the number of free spaces per household do you agree?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	12	5	2	0	1	20
No	3	1	0	1	0	5

If yes how many spaces

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
spaces						
1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	5	2	1	0	1	8
3	6	1	0	0	0	7

Do you agree that vehicles should not be parked on the footways through the village?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
No	12	2	1	0	0	15
Yes	3	3	1	3	1	11

Do you want the private parking scheme as described to be implemented in the village at the same time the charging starts in mid-February?

Responder	Village street residents	Stanmer House Courtyard	Stanmer House Old Stable Block	Village Street Business /organisation	Other	Total
Yes	11	5	2	2	1	21
No	4	0	0	0	0	4

Additional Comments were invited, these are laid out below with the number of very similar comments beside it.

Comment	no of written responses	
	Village Street	Courtyard
1 keep it as it has been	1	
2 clear sign village entrance resident only	3	
3 west side 1-6 was for flooding not a pavement	5	
4 need more lighting	2	
5 no1-3 should be able to park outside their house on the pavement	4	1
6 make parking area beside no 7	3	
7 make vacant plot into parking area	6	
8 make lower lodges free to residents	4	

9	want free visitor permit issued	1	
10	free resident parking	1	
11	remove the caravan	1	
12	disabled parking for residents only beyond no 16 i.e. blue badge parking limited from church to tea room	1	
13	want 3 visitor passes	1	
14	how often parking patrols?	1	
15	business visitors should park in car parks	1	
16	this appears to be mainly operated online and I am aware that a significant number of residents will not be able to do this	1	
17	Physical visitors' passes will help here and it would be most helpful if both physical and online visitor schemes could be in place.	1	
18	how long would a visitor be able to stay	1	
19	I hope that signage will be clear but not too intrusive.	1	
20	I presume that you are not planning to mark out parking bays	1	
21	longer term visitors should not have to pay to park	1	

Response to written comments made more than once

7, Vacant Plot

This needs further investigation to evaluate its' potential uses, or restrictions, if it is available this can be added later.

3,5, West Side was built as flood defence not footway and no1-3 should be able to park outside their house on the pavement

The original intent may have been primarily to protect those dwellings from run off which goes down the village street, but it is also of footway construction and would be used as such if vehicles were not always parked there. The areas could still be used for loading, as an authority we are working to remove obstructive parking from footways across the city.

8, Make lower lodges free to residents

The village parking management scheme and the TRO are separately controlled and permits have not been agreed for the TRO so this is not possible at the present time, it could potentially be considered in the 18 month review.

2, Clear sign at village entry

This will be provided.

6, Make parking area beside no 7

We will investigate the practicality and cost of this to provide additional parking if required, especially if space is reduced due to removal of parking on the footway.

4, Need more lighting

A replacement freestanding light is being installed early next year south of No.1 to replace an existing faulty light attached to No.1. We will investigate the practicality and cost of providing a column adjacent to No.7 if additional parking if required, especially if space is reduced due to not parking on the footway.

Courtyard comments if different from village street comments

Limit parking to one per driver	1
priority to residents with no off street available	1
Residents should have priority over visitors	1
prefer to pay for permit than have no permit	1
Residents here share address should be able to use village when required (not often)	2
Should not need to limit households as currently shared space with courtyard, stables and village	1
Should be no parking in front of Stanmer House and put stones back	1

Stable block comments if different from village street comments

Mostly not applicable but residents in village should have scheme	1
Residents don't have same amenities as in town (e.g. Bus) so should be prioritised over visitors to park	1

Other individual comments are mainly covered in the report.

Those which are not:

Permits for qualifying residents and visitors will be free.

Space is limited so offering 3 spaces and 3 visitor spaces per resident would not be practical at this time, the intention is to issue passes as per the qualification scheme in appendix 1.

We are retaining the option to have online passes when they become available as some people will want to use this system, those that don't will be able to register through Cityparks and visitor permits will be available as hard copies.

Signage will be provided to meet the standards required for this type of parking scheme, however we will endeavour to make it fit the location as far as we can.

We are not proposing to mark out bays at this time, which is also why we would not limit the blue badges to certain parts of the street.

There is no parking in front of Stanmer House this is designated as drop off only through the TRO.

The caravan belongs to a former resident who has promised to move it soon.

