



spd ...

supplementary planning document

Brighton & Hove City Council's Local Development Framework

Nature Conservation and Development

Appendix 2





What is an SPD?

A *Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)* is one of the material considerations that can be taken into account when determining a planning application. It forms a part of the *Local Development Framework (LDF)* and is intended to elaborate upon policies in the *Development Plan Documents (DPD)*. This SPD is one of a series produced by Brighton & Hove City Council and it is to be read in conjunction with the *DPD*. Each SPD has been subject to a period of formal consultation and approval under the LDF. In preparing this SPD the council has had particular regard to Government policy as set out in *Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development* and *Planning Policy Statement 9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation*.

NOTE: Illustrations will be added to the approved version

Contents

- 1 Introduction
 - 2 Definitions
 - 3 Legislation and policy base: Key messages
 - 4 A step-by-step guide to building nature into development
 - 5 Stage A: Preparing to submit a planning application
 - A1 First impressions
 - A2 Nature conservation survey
 - A3 Avoid and minimise
 - A4 Compensate
 - A5 New benefits
 - 6 Stage B: Submitting a planning application
 - 7 Stage C: Planning permission granted: The construction phase
 - 8 Stage D: Aftercare
 - 9 Hypothetical good practice case studies
 - 10 Glossary of terms
-
- Annex 1: Habitats and species of importance in Brighton and Hove
 - Annex 2: Legislation, policy and nature conservation
 - Annex 3: Indicators for carrying out a 'first impressions' survey of a development site
 - Annex 4: Useful contacts and further reading
 - Annex 5: Nature conservation on development sites: A hazard prevention checklist
 - Annex 6: Calculating developer contributions
 - Annex 7: Notes on habitat creation and enhancement

I Introduction

1.1 Brighton & Hove City Council has a statutory duty under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 to have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of its functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity¹. Nature conservation is regarded as a key test of sustainable development. The local planning process addresses this duty by the inclusion of a number of nature conservation policies in local planning documents. These include policies NCI to NC4, QDI7 and QDI8 of the Brighton & Hove Local Plan 2005, which will be succeeded by policies in the Local Development Framework. This SPD explains how such planning policies should be interpreted and applied. It is intended for use by planning applicants, council officers and local people concerned with the conservation of biodiversity in development.

1.2 Other SPDs of particular relevance to biodiversity conservation in Brighton and Hove include :

- SPD 06 'Trees and Development Sites'.

Application

1.3 This SPD addresses two types of development scenario:

- Development proposals for sites with no current nature conservation value.
- Proposals affecting existing areas of nature conservation value.

Deleted: without
Deleted: existing
Deleted: <#>, but with the potential for enhancement and ¶
¶
P

1.4 Many development proposals have the potential to benefit local biodiversity. For example even small home extensions might incorporate a House Sparrow nest box under the eaves, or a 'green wall'. A small proportion of proposals affect existing biodiversity and these can avoid unnecessary loss by taking account of its value throughout the planning process.

1.5 This document is suited to developers who take a proactive approach to design and who aim to build quality developments. The guidance set out in this SPD will enable developers to meet the Council's aim for high ecology ratings under the Code for Sustainable Homes and also to address corporate social responsibility issues.

Deleted: Adhering to the guidance set out in this SPD will ensure developments achieve high ecology ratings under the Code for Sustainable Homes and address corporate social responsibility issues.

Aims

1.6 The aims of this SPD are:

- To ensure that the key principles of national planning guidance on biodiversity and nature conservation² are fully met locally and specifically that local planning decisions maintain, enhance, restore or add to biodiversity in Brighton and Hove.
- To ensure the Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP)³ is fully integrated into the local planning process.

Deleted: carried out

¹ Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 Part 3 paragraph 40 (1)

² See Planning Policy Statement 9; Biodiversity and Geological Conservation, ODPM August 2005 p3 para. 1

- To ensure best practice is followed consistently and openly.
- To minimise the cost to development and streamline the application process by ensuring nature conservation implications are as predictable as possible and that only relevant development proposals are affected.
- To contribute to the City Council’s commitment to sustainable development.

2 Definitions

Nature Conservation Features

2.1 Biodiversity can be simply defined as ‘the variety of life on earth’⁴. Local, biodiversity-related planning policies refer to ‘nature conservation features’ which can be defined as having the dual functions of contributing to local biodiversity and providing opportunities for people to experience and benefit from it. The benefits to local people provided by nature conservation features are wide ranging. They include valuable ‘ecosystem services’, such as mitigating the damaging effects of air pollution and climate change, as well as aesthetic and amenity benefits. For example, installing green roofs helps to reduce summer temperatures in urban areas, slows storm water runoff and can lower energy consumption.

Deleted: dampens

Deleted: For a full review of the benefits of nature conservation, see the Brighton & Hove Biodiversity Strategy.[¶]

2.2 Nature conservation features include:

- species and their habitats (including feeding, resting and breeding areas);
- geology and geomorphology;
- semi-natural elements of the landscape with particular cultural or historical significance; and
- features which provide links or stepping stones from one habitat to another.

Annex I lists species and habitats of particular value in Brighton and Hove.

Nature Conservation Sites

2.3 The most important sites for nature conservation within the boundary of Brighton and Hove are designated as:

- Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) - European importance;
- Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) - national importance;
- Local Nature Reserves (LNRs) - local importance
- Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCIs) - local importance.

2.4 The boundaries of local SSSIs, LNRs and SNCIs are shown on the Brighton & Hove Local Plan Proposals Map and can also be viewed on www.CityWildlife.org.uk.

³ For further information on Biodiversity Action Planning see www.ukbap.org.uk and www.citywildlife.org.uk

⁴ Working with the grain of nature. A biodiversity strategy for England. DEFRA 2002 para. 1.1

2.5 Most nature conservation features occur outside designated sites and to be sustainable, it is important that development identifies, conserves and enhances such features.

3 Legislation and policy base: Key messages

3.1 Nature conservation planning policy in Brighton and Hove is supported by a comprehensive legal and policy base at national, regional and county levels. A review of this is provided in **Annex 2**. Key messages for development include:

- The local planning authority has a statutory duty to have regard to conserving biodiversity as part of the planning process.
- The local planning authority is expected to ensure its planning decisions are based on up-to-date information on local nature conservation features.
- Nature conservation features of value frequently occur outside designated sites and these should be conserved, enhanced and additional features created as part of development.
- Maintaining current levels of biodiversity is not sufficient. The Council is expected to produce and implement a Local Biodiversity Action Plan which should set out how adequate provision for biodiversity will be made, including through the development control process.
- Brighton and Hove supports several sites, habitats and species of particular importance (see **Annex 1**). The council has a particular responsibility to promote their maintenance and long-term conservation as part of the planning process.

Deleted: enhanced

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and enhancement

4 A step by step guide to building nature into development

4.1 Adopting the approach summarised in Table 1 will ensure best practice for integrating nature into development is achieved. This is explained in more detail in the following sections.

Table 1: Successfully integrating nature conservation features into development

<p>Stage A: Preparing to submit a planning application</p> <p>A1 First impressions Are existing nature conservation features likely to be affected by the development (refer to Annex 3)?</p> <p>Yes – go to A.2 No – go to A.5</p> <p>Although the advice of an ecologist should be sought where feasible, this stage can be carried out by applicants, planning officers and the public. Ensure that the findings of this stage are reported as part of outline and full planning applications.</p> <hr/> <p>A2 Nature Conservation survey</p>
--

<p>Must be carried out by a qualified ecologist. Take account of any nature conservation features within the development site and wider area (refer to Annex 1). Compile any relevant information from existing sources - Sussex Biodiversity Record Centre, Booth Museum, www.CityWildlife.org.uk.</p>	<p>Deleted: biodiversity and Deleted: other</p>
<p>A3 Mitigation Working with the consultant ecologist, ensure the layout and design of the development avoids wherever possible and minimises harm to the features identified in A1 and A2. Ensure less obvious impacts are considered, such as effects outside the development boundary, activities during the construction phase, pipes and underground cables, shading and light pollution (refer to Annex 5). Failure to avoid damaging impacts may lead to application refusal.</p>	
<p>A4 Compensation If damage to nature conservation features cannot be avoided entirely, it may be possible to compensate for these residual effects, or as a last resort, calculate a commuted sum (refer to Annex 6). Applications which do not compensate for damage to nature conservation features will be refused.</p>	
<p>A5 Conservation All development should deliver adequate provision for biodiversity (refer to Annexes 6 and 7).</p>	<p>Deleted: New Benefits Deleted: produce a net gain in</p>
<p>Stage B: Submitting a planning application Ensure the application includes a nature conservation report. See section 6</p>	
<p>Stage C: Planning Permission Granted - Construction phase Ensure good practice is followed during construction. See section 7</p>	
<p>Stage D: Aftercare Ensure adequate provision is made for ongoing conservation management. See section 8</p>	

5 Stage A: Preparing to submit a planning application

Introduction

- 5.1 It is a common misconception that surveys for protected species and other nature conservation features can be postponed until after determination and then addressed by condition. Part IV of ODPM Circular 06/2005 makes plain that this practice is not acceptable in almost all cases. Failure to take account of the presence of nature conservation features as part of initial scheme design is a regular cause of unnecessary delay in the development control process and can lead to application refusal on nature conservation grounds.
- 5.2 It is essential that adequate ecological information is gained from the earliest stages of all development proposals, including those addressed by outline planning applications. This can be achieved by following a two-part process:
- *First impressions* – a brief scope of the application site and its surroundings to locate any features which may be of nature conservation value (Table 1, Stage A1)

- *Nature Conservation survey* – if the scope locates features of potential value, a more thorough assessment of the area is carried out (Table 1, Stage A2).

Stage A1: First Impressions

5.3 The majority of developments in Brighton and Hove have no significant effect on existing nature conservation features. A small proportion are at risk of damaging the City's natural assets and in most cases such damage can be avoided if the threat is identified at the earliest stage of a development proposal. This can be achieved by carrying out a 'first impressions survey' of the nature conservation value of the site. This survey is a quick and simple process which can be carried out without ecological expertise (although advice from an ecologist at this stage is preferable).

5.4 **Annex 3** contains a checklist to carry out a first impressions survey. It can be used by developers, planners or (where access is available) the public to assess the nature conservation value of a proposed development site and its surroundings. Annex 3 has been compiled to detect the majority of nature conservation features of value, although it is important to note for example, that protected species can occur in very unlikely places. Local knowledge and ecological advice can be invaluable and should be sought where feasible.

5.5 The results of the first impressions survey should be reported as part of the planning application, quoting any relevant indicator number from the table in Annex 3. If the survey reveals that nature conservation features may be affected, a full nature conservation survey and report is required to be submitted as a part of the planning application. The results of the first impressions survey and (if required) the full nature conservation survey are required for an application to be validated. Guidance on the report may be sought from the Council Ecologist (see 'further advice', **Annex 4**) prior to the application being submitted.

5.6 Attempts to exclude or remove nature conservation features could constitute a criminal offence and should never be undertaken.

Stage A2: Nature Conservation Survey

Choosing Consultants

5.7 Nature conservation surveys should be carried out by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists. These should normally be eligible for membership of an appropriate professional body, such as the Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (IEEM, see **Annex 4**, 'Useful Contacts'). Where a formal Environmental Impact Assessment is required, membership by a consultancy or individual of the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) is a useful indication of competence. The IEEM has also published guidance on carrying out Ecological Impact Assessments (see <http://www.ieem.org.uk/ecia/index.html>).

5.8 It is important to ensure that the appointed consultant is qualified and experienced in those fields that require survey. Additionally some protected species can only be handled or trapped by personnel holding Government licences. Detailed guidance on

procedures for dealing with protected species is provided in Part IV of ODPM Circular 06/2005 (see <http://www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1144318>).

- 5.9 Ecologists who have experience of dealing with urban environments and in particular the Brighton and Hove area, are likely to be able to make contact with the local relevant organisations efficiently, undertake survey work rapidly, and accurately assess the implications of a scheme in relation to the local context. Council policy encourages the employment of local labour where possible. A list of ecological consultants who have carried out work in Brighton and Hove is provided in **Annex 4**.

Survey Methodology

- 5.10 The survey should take account of all the possible nature conservation features identified by the first impressions survey and any others which may later become apparent. Standard survey methods are available for assessing different species groups. These are discussed in detail in 'Guidance on Survey Methodology', published by IEEM and available on the Internet at www.ieem.org.uk/Guidance%20on%20Survey%20Methodology.pdf. Survey reports supporting planning applications are expected to adhere to these guidelines. Note that timing is an important consideration for most species surveys. If it is necessary to vary the method used from accepted good practice this should be clearly explained, as should the effect on the reliability of the results. CIRIA has published guidance on the optimal timing for carrying out specialist ecological surveys and mitigation which is available on the Internet at <http://www.ciria.org/pdf/calendar.pdf>.
- 5.11 In addition to surveys for particular species, all surveys should also use established methods to assess the plant communities and habitat types present on site. Acceptable habitat assessment methods are the 'extended Phase 1' and the 'Integrated Habitat System' (see the IEEM guidance referred to in paragraph 4.10 for full details).
- 5.12 As part of an ecological survey, consultants should take account of previous species records for the site. These are available for the Brighton and Hove area from the Sussex Biodiversity Record Centre, The Booth Museum and the CityWildlife web site (see **Annex 4**).
- 5.13 The methods, results and conclusions of any nature conservation survey must be compiled and submitted in writing as part of the planning application.

Stage A3: Mitigation

- 5.14 The findings of ecological surveys should be taken into careful consideration at the earliest design stage of a development. Possible conflicts can be addressed by having the information available at the right stage and by taking an imaginative approach to site design to avoid harm, informed by advice from an ecologist as part of the design team. The objective should be to mitigate potentially negative impacts and integrate existing biodiversity into the scheme. Impacts on existing nature conservation features should be avoided wherever possible and any residual impacts should be minimised. To aid this process a hazard prevention checklist is provided in **Annex 5**.
- 5.15 In assessing the potential impact of a proposal on nature conservation features, applicants should ensure that all stages of the development are considered. Frequently

the disturbed area of the development site during construction is greater than that normally shown on application drawings. Impacts may also extend beyond the site boundary long after construction has completed, for example due to shading, increased light pollution or predation by domestic pets. Damaging impacts on the integrity of networks of habitat through fragmentation should also be considered.

5.16 Applicants should ensure that they take account of the potential effects of a development on all the life stages of species listed in **Annex I**, taking account of the following essential requirements:

- Food
- Water
- Shelter
- Reproduction
- Dispersal

For example, preserving a Great Crested Newt breeding pond within a development would not be sufficient to conserve the species, if its terrestrial habitat is destroyed.

5.17 The potential habitat fragmentation and isolation effects of a development on the wider environment should be considered. For example, removing a hedgerow or line of trees could sever a bat feeding route with consequential effects on a breeding colony, even if the colony itself is preserved. Developers should therefore consider the use of appropriate species, the creation of buffer zones, stepping stones and wildlife corridors to ensure the development is integrated into the wider environment.

5.18 Applicants should also consider that some potential effects will be acute and easily detectable, while others may be long term and may only become apparent some months or years after construction is complete.

5.19 Damaging impacts on nature conservation features may be identified which cannot be avoided without jeopardising the viability of the development. These impacts should be clearly described and a full explanation given as part of both outline and full planning applications. Applicants should be aware that if the council considers the nature of the damage outweighs other material considerations, the application will be refused. This is particularly relevant for proposals involving impacts on designated nature conservation sites or protected species.

Stage A4: Compensation

5.20 In cases where damaging impacts on nature conservation features are identified which cannot be mitigated, compensation, either on or off-site, will be required.

5.21 The objective of compensation is to restore or recreate the nature conservation feature damaged by a development. Compensatory measures should provide, as a minimum, no net loss to the overall quality or area of the nature conservation feature within Brighton and Hove. In some cases a greater quantity of the replacement feature may be required, particularly in cases where there is uncertainty about the quality of the replacement. It may also be necessary to take account of other factors, such as LBAP targets and the effects of climate change. For example some species may be used in

compensation schemes in preference to others found in the original habitat because of their known tolerance of the predicted climate in Brighton and Hove. The advice of an experienced ecologist should be sought.

5.22 Compensation should not be regarded as an alternative to avoidance and should only be considered if avoidance is unachievable. The integrity of a nature conservation site as a whole can be adversely effected by a damaging development affecting a proportion of it, even if compensatory measures are carried out elsewhere. Furthermore there is usually a high degree of uncertainty over whether compensation will achieve the nature conservation value of the original, at least within a reasonable period of time. Therefore proposals involving compensatory measures must demonstrate why mitigation is not possible to achieve. Alternative solutions should be described and discussed in the planning application. For compensation to be acceptable, the importance of the development must also clearly outweigh the harm caused.

5.23 It may be necessary to implement compensation measures some time before the development itself proceeds. Some features, such as artificial badger setts, hedgerows and ponds, usually need time to mature and function ecologically before they will offer effective alternative habitat. Applicants should ensure such considerations are fully taken into account in the timing and phasing of development proposals.

Compensation Options

5.24 Compensation can take the form of:

- The translocation of existing nature conservation features to:
 - a new location within the development site, or (if this is not possible)
 - a new location within Brighton and Hove.
- The re-creation of new nature conservation features within the development site or Brighton and Hove to replace those lost or damaged.
- A commuted sum paid to the council to improve or create equivalent nature conservation features elsewhere in Brighton and Hove.

5.25 Applications involving compensation proposals should consider the above options in that order, for example only including proposals to recreate nature conservation features if translocation is not possible.

5.26 If it is not possible to translocate or re-create nature conservation features within the development site, an applicant may choose to carry out equivalent measures on land elsewhere in Brighton and Hove.

Translocation

5.27 In some cases translocation may be the only compensation option available, for example if legally protected species are involved. Translocation proposals must be described in detail as part of the planning application. To be acceptable to the local planning authority, proposals must include descriptions of:

- The technique to be used to collect and move the feature, including timing
- The equipment to be used
- The personnel involved
- The location, size and physical characteristics of the donor and receptor sites
- Any habitat management of the donor and receptor areas which may be required before and after the proposed translocation.

5.28 Species and habitat translocation proposals should adhere to the recommendations set out in 'A Policy for Conservation Translocations of Species in Britain' and 'A Habitats Translocation Policy for Britain' published by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee and available for download from the Internet at http://www.jncc.gov.uk/pdf/species_policy.pdf and http://www.jncc.gov.uk/pdf/habitats_policy.pdf. If it is necessary to vary the method used from accepted good practice this should be clearly explained, as should the effect on the reliability of the results.

5.29 To achieve sustainable development, the area of habitat available for species and habitats in Brighton and Hove should at least be maintained and wherever possible increased as a consequence of development proposals. The following translocation proposals are therefore not acceptable:

- translocation of habitats or species to sites outside the city boundary and;
- translocation of species to sites which already support good populations of the same species, or when habitat enhancement to accommodate the increased population size cannot be reasonably achieved.

5.30 Guidance on the identification of suitable translocation sites may be sought from the Council Ecologist (see 'further advice', **Annex 4**) prior to the application being submitted. The council has identified an area of land within its ownership which is suitable for reptile translocation, should other translocation options not be possible.

Re-creation

5.31 Re-creation proposals should adhere to the guidance set out in **Annex 7**. In cases where a delay is inevitable before a new habitat performs the same ecological functions as that lost, the application must also explain how all reasonable efforts will be made to 'pump prime' the habitat to provide compensatory features of equivalent value, e.g. by including nest boxes to compensate for loss of bird breeding habitat, in addition to replanting an area of scrub suitable for nesting birds.

5.32 Applicants may wish to refer to the series of habitat creation and maintenance handbooks published by the British Trust for Conservation Volunteers and available online at: <http://shop.btcv.org.uk/shop/level2/59/level>.

Commuted Sums

5.33 In some cases it may not be possible for a developer to either mitigate or compensate for the effects of development on nature conservation features within the development site or wider Brighton and Hove. In certain circumstances the development may still be justified, taking account of the planning policy context. In such circumstances

a financial payment will be required to be paid to the council via a planning obligation, secured through a S.106 Agreement. The purpose of such a payment would be to pay for the council to secure adequate compensatory measures, to ensure the sustainable development objectives of local planning policy are achieved. Guidance on calculating an appropriate payment is provided in **Annex 6**.

Compensation and Irreplaceable Nature Conservation Features

5.34 It is not practically possible to compensate for the loss of some nature conservation features. Applications involving proposals to compensate for loss or damage to the following nature conservation features will be refused in all but exceptional circumstances:

- ancient woodland,
- veteran trees
- ancient hedgerows
- ancient chalk grassland

Deleted: English Elm

5.35 Ancient woodland can be identified using the provisional East Sussex Inventory of Ancient Woodland (Nature Conservancy Council 1984) which can also be viewed on the Internet at www.magic.gov.uk (by running the Habitat Inventories option). It should be noted that ancient woodland of less than 2 ha which may occur in Brighton and Hove is not included in this inventory.

Stage A5: Delivering Adequate New Provision for Biodiversity

Deleted: New benefits

5.36 Planning policy requires development to improve and extend nature conservation features wherever possible and local planning authorities are expected to actively pursue and maximise such improvements. All development in Brighton and Hove is required to improve and extend existing nature conservation features and add new features, irrespective of the original nature conservation value of the development site or its size,

Deleted: expected

Deleted: contribute to this objective

Deleted: the

Deleted: of the development site

Deleted: new

Deleted: benefits

5.37 Given the diversity of opportunities created by developments it would not be appropriate to precisely prescribe the amounts or types of nature conservation features which should be provided in every situation. Instead Table 6.2 of **Annex 6** (and its supporting text) should be used to achieve the required number of 'habitat points' for a development. The table uses a menu of options to provide maximum flexibility to developers while also ensuring that nature conservation is adequately delivered in accordance with policy requirements.

Deleted: improvements are

5.38 In providing nature conservation features, all developments should adhere to the following principles:

Deleted: new

1. Clearly distinguish between the nature conservation benefits offered and any existing features retained or compensated for;
2. Ensure that adequate provision is made for all nature conservation features (new and existing) to be managed appropriately. Sustainable practice should be employed in any landscaping scheme, including :

Deleted: new

- the use of only peat-free composts, mulches and soil conditioners.
 - avoiding the use of pesticides (which include herbicides, insecticides, fungicides and slug pellets);
3. Take a creative approach to maximising nature conservation, particularly those which deliver multi-functional bebenefits. For example incorporating sustainable urban drainage systems can also deliver open space and wildlife befits. Promoting wildlife gardening in the gardens of show homes can have important educational benefits, and minimising impermeable paved areas can reduce storm water runoff and create opportunities for new habitats.
 4. Address the regional water shortage through water conservation measures such as rainfall harvesting and using rainwater irrigation and drought-resistant plants;
 5. Ensuring that new biodiversity benefits are fully integrated through the scheme, not fragmented into isolated pockets or restricted to peripheral parts of the development site;
 6. Take account of the wider landscape and ecological context of the development to ensure opportunities to promote the connectivity of habitats are maximised.
 7. Ensure that adaptation to and amelioration for the effects of climate change are fully taken into account (likely climate change impacts include extended periods of summer drought, periods of heavy rainfall in winter, warmer summers, strong winds and more intense summer storms);
 8. Ensure the plant species used to create new nature conservation features are appropriate to Brighton and Hove, the local context and the development (**see Annex 7**). In the urban area, these need not be native but they should be chosen to maximise the biodiversity of the site and to avoid any negative impacts on areas of LBAP habitat in the vicinity;
 9. Seek to contribute to the achievement of LBAP objectives (for further information see the 'useful contacts' section and **Annex I. Annex 7** contains more detailed guidance about the creation and management of selected nature conservation features in the local context) and
 10. Wherever possible take opportunities to include biodiversity onto the fabric of buildings, for example:
 - Consider the incorporation of 'green roofs' on both flat and pitched roofs and planted 'green walls'. Such features promote urban biodiversity and offer other important sustainability benefits, such as reduced energy consumption, adaptation to climate change and opportunities for new open space. Locally native vegetation and local growing media should be used. Green roofs and green walls are however not appropriate to Listed Buildings, Buildings of Local Interest and traditional buildings. Green roofs are also not appropriate to new buildings in conservation areas where the roofs are not concealed behind parapets. Where it can be

Deleted: addressing the

Deleted: ation

Deleted: of

Deleted: benefits

Deleted: f

Deleted: by p

Deleted: and incorporating wildlife-friendly sustainable urban drainage systems

Deleted: ;

Deleted: and

Deleted: can have

Deleted: ing

Deleted: in conservation areas and in the AONB / proposed National Park

demonstrated that these restrictions prevent applications from fully achieving the habitat points required under Table 6.2 of **Annex 6**, compensation for this shortfall will not be required.

- For technical guidance on incorporating nature conservation features into buildings see www.ciria.org/buildinggreener and www.livingroofs.org.uk. For advice on creating new habitats elsewhere see <http://shop.btcv.org.uk/shop/level2/59/level>. The Council Ecologist may also be contacted for informal, pre-application advice (see contacts, **Annex 4**).

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: <#>Select species for amenity landscaping schemes which maximise local biodiversity (see Table 7.2.1 in **Annex 7** for further information).¶

Deleted: new

Deleted: benefits are not proposed,

5.39 Where biodiversity is not provided for adequately, sufficient explanation should be provided. In such cases a commuted sum will be required equivalent to the cost of creating the required features, taking account of the guidance and standards set out in **Annex 6**.

6 Stage B: Submitting a Planning Application

6.1 By the time a planning application is ready for submission, a 'first impressions' survey should have been undertaken and depending on the outcome, a nature conservation survey may also have been completed. The process described in stages A3 to A5 of this SPD should also have been carefully thought through. All planning applications should include:

- a list of any relevant Annex 3 indicator numbers (if there are none, then this should be stated);
- a statement explaining the steps planned to address any existing nature conservation value and
- appropriate proposals for new biodiversity provision.

Deleted: enhancement

Nature Conservation Reports

6.2 All applications where a full nature conservation survey is required must submit a Nature Conservation Report before the application can be validated.

6.3 Nature Conservation Reports should (refer to Table 1):

- Describe how stages A1 and A2 have been achieved
- Locate and describe existing nature conservation features of value, with scale plans where appropriate.
- Describe how stages A3, A4, A5, C and D would be achieved.
- Provide contact details, qualifications and experience of all relevant personnel.

6.4 Following the good practice set out in this SPD will avoid unnecessary delay during the determination process and will ensure nature conservation makes a positive contribution to new developments.

6.5 Applicants are advised to also consider SPD03 (Construction & Demolition Waste), SPD06 (Trees and Development Sites) and SPGBH 21 (Brighton & Hove Sustainability Checklist) before submitting a planning application.

7 Stage C: Planning Permission Granted: the Construction Phase

7.1 During construction it is essential that steps are taken to ensure all personnel understand the nature conservation objectives of the development. Temporary signage of sensitive areas is advisable and regular checks of the nature conservation features and any protective fencing should be carried out. Nature conservation reports should describe the measures which will be taken to ensure existing nature conservation features are conserved during the construction phase (see **Annex 5**). Such reports should also address:

- Identification of and contact details for responsible personnel.
- Timing of works to minimise the risk of disturbance to protected and other species.
- Procedures for dealing with unexpected discoveries, such as previously undetected protected species or injured wildlife. If a protected species is found after planning permission has been granted, the developer should stop work immediately and contact Natural England for further advice.

8 Stage D: Aftercare

8.1 Planning applications should include costed maintenance specifications and monitoring proposals for each of the nature conservation features addressed and describe how these aspects would be implemented. This could include a description of the resources required, the personnel involved and a procedure for ensuring that any new owner/occupiers are made aware of their responsibilities.

9 Hypothetical good practice case studies

The two case studies below are hypothetical and any resemblances to actual developments, planned or implemented, are entirely incidental. [Please see Annex 6 for further details.](#)

1. Small back-land housing development

[A proposal to develop a former private garden of 0.1 hectares for 9 terraced houses appeared to offer few opportunities for urban biodiversity, but biodiversity loss was successfully minimised and new opportunities for wildlife created.](#)

The Site

[The development site was typical of a large back garden in suburban Brighton with a mix of vegetable gardens, fruit trees and flower borders, adjacent to the city-wide green network/ Greenway.](#)

The Proposal

The proposal involved developing the site for 9 terraced three-story houses with small courtyard gardens. Access to the site from an adjacent road was provided, although the development itself is car-free. The proposal was supported by a strong policy base encouraging high density development on previously developed land but it also had to demonstrate that there would be no net loss of biodiversity and that opportunities to increase biodiversity had been maximised, in order to meet biodiversity policy requirements.

Taking account of Biodiversity

A1: First Impressions Survey

During the initial visit, the architect carried out a 'first impressions' survey of the potential biodiversity of the site, using **Annex 3** to this SPD. The following indicators of biodiversity were identified:

<u>Biodiversity Indicators</u>	<u>Indicator number</u>	<u>Notes (indicators of:)</u>
<u>Amenity or native scrub or more than 10 bushes</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Nesting birds</u>
<u>Compost heaps in mature gardens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Slow-worm</u>
<u>Hedges (including garden hedges) in or adjacent to the development site</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>Nesting birds</u>
<u>Pathways passing under thick vegetation; small excavations in grassed areas.</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Badger</u>

A2: Nature Conservation Survey

On the basis of the findings of this survey, the developer employed a consultant ecologist to carry out an ecological survey of the site and to make recommendations for mitigation, compensation and enhancement. The consultant was employed in good time to ensure the survey could be carried out at the appropriate season and so that their recommendations could be integrated into the development from the earliest design stages.

The ecological survey discovered several species listed in **Annex I** to this SPD. These included a large population of Slow-worm over the whole site. Badger, House Sparrow, Pipistrelle Bat, and Song Thrush were also found to use the site but were not resident. A pond of 7m² was also discovered.

A3: Mitigation

The findings of the ecological survey formed the basis for a strategy for minimising harm and maximising the biodiversity value of the development. This was achieved in the following ways:

Access for badgers to the adjacent green network / Greenway was retained via a 'badger corridor' to mitigate for the effects of the development on local badger populations.

A4: Compensation

Loss of the mature garden as Slow-worm habitat was unavoidable. The developer was unable to provide land under their control to re-create the habitat off-site. However, using Table 6.1 of Annex 6, the developer agreed to pay the council a commuted sum of £1,000 to maintain for 10 years 0.1 ha of new Slow-Worm habitat at a pre-agreed site in the

ownership of the council. The developer's consultant ecologist prepared the translocation site and carried out the reptile translocation.

A 7m² pond was also created on communal green space within the development to compensate for the one lost.

A5: New Benefits

300m² of green roofs were fitted to sections of the roofs of the new houses. Although these were new nature conservation features, use of a chalk grassland plant community on the roofs also provided compensatory feeding habitat for House Sparrow, Pipistrelle Bat, Song Thrush and other wildlife, and therefore contributed to targets in the Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP).

100m² of green wall was fitted to one end of the block of houses and five bat boxes and five bird boxes for House Sparrow were fitted to the wall behind it to provide opportunities for these species to become established on site, to enhance its biodiversity value and address LBAP targets.

A green, open space was created in front of the new houses, including 50m² of wildlife-friendly amenity planting and the 7m² compensatory pond (fenced) to provide visual interest for the householders and a feature for wildlife. The amenity planting was integrated into 100m² of Local Area for Play. The householders make an annual payment to a management company to maintain the space in accordance with a pre-agreed management plan (secured through the Section 106 agreement). The space also provides opportunities for the householders to actively participate in habitat management and to be involved in an annual review of priorities.

Calculation of 'Nature Points' (refer to Annex 6)

Total number of habitat points required: 1,000 – 7 (pond) = 993

Habitat points earned by the enhancement measures:

<u>300m² of chalk grassland green roof at 2.3 points per m²:</u>	<u>690</u>
<u>80m² green wall at 2.3 points per m² :</u>	<u>184</u>
<u>30m² of amenity planting at 3.7 points per m²:</u>	<u>111</u>
<u>6 bird boxes at 0.5 points per box</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>5 bat boxes at 1 point per box</u>	<u>5</u>

Total number of points earned by the development: 993

Shortfall (993-993) 0

Total commuted sum (reptiles translocation) £1,000

2. Public building adjacent to a Local Nature Reserve

A proposal to provide a new public facility close to a Local Nature Reserve ensured that biodiversity plays an enhanced role in improving quality of life for the local community.

The Site

The development site comprised 0.3 ha of amenity grassland in a neighbourhood of Brighton and Hove which had been identified as suffering from multiple deprivation.

The Proposal

The development proposal involved creating a new 1,500m² floorspace community facility on two floors, including a nursery, office space, a computer suite, coffee bar and training facilities.

Taking account of Biodiversity

A1: First Impressions Survey

The council-led proposal was put together by a multi-disciplinary team to ensure the final scheme would be socially, environmentally and economically sustainable. In accordance with the requirements of Section 40 of the NERC Act 2006, biodiversity considerations were integrated into the scheme from the outset and the costs factored into the funding bid.

A 'first impressions' survey found that the development site was of low biodiversity value. No additional survey (stage A2) was therefore required and the scheme concentrated on delivering biodiversity gain and contributing to LBAP targets. Its proximity to a Local Nature Reserve (LNR) was also recognised as an asset which would help to promote the community-building objectives of the development.

A3: Mitigation and A4: Compensation

None required.

A5: New Benefits

Biodiversity features were integrated into the new community facility, including a green roof, 'green walls' and ten wall-mounted nest boxes for House Sparrow. The roof was vegetated with a native chalk grassland seed mix to contribute to LBAP targets. This also helped to disguise the building in long-views from within the LNR. Surrounding landscaping used wildlife-friendly plants, including a variety of scented species in open space attached to the nursery. Spiny *Pyracantha*, was grown against the walls of the facility to provide berries and nesting opportunities for birds, and as a deterrent to climbing teenagers! Green wall planting at the rear of the facility was installed to deter graffiti. A species-rich hedgerow was grown along the boundary of the site with the LNR to help screen long views and provide a new habitat for nesting birds and other wildlife.

Calculation of 'Nature Points' (refer to Annex 6)

<u>Total number of habitat points required:</u>	<u>3,000</u>
<u>700m² chalk grassland green roof at 2.3 points per m²:</u>	<u>1,610</u>
<u>200m² green wall at 2.3 points per m²</u>	<u>460</u>
<u>10 bird nest boxes at 0.5 points per box</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>150m² of amenity planting at 3.7 points per m²:</u>	<u>555</u>
<u>65m hedgerow at 4.5 points per m</u>	<u>292.5</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>2,922.5</u>

Unallocated:	77.5
Total commuted sum (£70 per nature point)	£5,425

The commuted payment was used to improve the access path to the LNR and erect an interpretation board.

10. Glossary of terms

BAP and LBAP: Biodiversity Action Plans and Local Biodiversity Action Plans (BAPs & LBAPs) review the current resource of habitats and species and establish broad objectives for improvement into the future. They also set specific targets for achieving the broad objectives and identify methods of delivery, resources and a programme of action. BAPs exist at national and local levels.

BREEAM: The Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method has been used to assess the environmental performance of both new and existing buildings. It is regarded by the UK's construction and property sectors as the measure of best practice in environmental design and management. For further information see www.breeam.org.

Climate Change: Current projections are for hotter, much drier summers and warmer, wetter winters in the UK. These changes would have marked effects on existing biodiversity. However nature conservation features can ameliorate climate change, for example by reducing storm water runoff and cooling summer heat. Further information can be found on the Internet at www.defra.gov.uk/wildlife-countryside/resprog/findings/climatechange-biodiversity/index.htm.

BAP, LBAP, NCR, SPD, SACs, SSSI, LNR : Local Nature Reserves are statutory nature conservation designations made by local authorities under the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.

Green Roof: A roof supporting vegetation. **Biodiverse roofs** are designed to support species-rich habitats such as chalk grassland. Brown Roofs use recycled rubble to support specialist plant communities. For more information see www.livingroofs.org

Green Wall: Walls deliberately designed to support climbing vegetation. These are commonly fitted with purpose-built supporting frames but more sophisticated schemes can include irrigation and support whole plant communities such as the 'vertical garden' at the Musée du Quai Branly in Paris.

Local Area of Play (LAP): Is a play space catering for children up to 6 years of age. It has a minimum activity zone area of 100 m² and should include planting to enable children to experience natural scent, colour and texture.

Sustainable development is development which meets present needs without compromising the needs of the future. True sustainable development achieves mutual benefits for economic, social and environmental objectives, rather than 'trading off' one objective against another.

Deleted: <#>Small back-land housing development¶

¶
A proposal to develop a former private garden of 0.1 hectares for 9 terraced houses appeared to offer few opportunities for urban biodiversity, but biodiversity loss was successfully minimised and new opportunities for wildlife created.¶

¶
The Site¶

The development site was typical of a large back garden in suburban Brighton with a mix of vegetable gardens, fruit trees and flower borders, adjacent to the city-wide green network/ Greenway.¶

¶
The Proposal¶

The proposal involved developing the site for 9 terraced three-story houses with small courtyard gardens. Access to the site from an adjacent road was provided, although the development itself is car-free. The proposal was supported by a strong policy base encouraging high density development on previously developed land but it also had to demonstrate that there would be no net loss of biodiversity and that opportunities to increase biodiversity had been maximised, in order to meet biodiversity policy requirements.¶

¶
Taking account of Biodiversity¶

During the initial visit, the architect carried out a 'first impressions' survey of the potential biodiversity of the site, using **Annex 3** to this SPD. The following indicators of biodiversity were identified.¶

¶
Biodiversity Indicators ... [1]

need a translation?

Deleted: ¶

¶
¶

Page Break

If you would like this translated, please tick the box (or state the language required) and return this to any council office

Në qoftë se dëshironi që ky informacion të përkthehet nëgjuhën shqipe ju lutem shënoni '✓' në kuadratin përkatës dhe dorëzojeni këtë në cilëndo zyrë të Këshillit të Rrethit.

Albanian

"إذا كنت ترغب في الحصول على هذه المعلومات مترجمة للغة العربية، يرجى وضع علامة في الخانة المبيّنة و إعادة هذا إلى أي مكتب من مكاتب مجلس البلدية".

Arabic

'আপনি যদি এই তথ্যটির অনুবাদ [বাংলা ভাষায়] পেতে চান তাহলে অনুগ্রহ করে পাশের বক্রে ঠিক দিয়ে যে কোন কাউন্সিল অফিসে পাঠিয়ে দিন'

Bengali

如果你想這些資料翻譯成廣東話，請在方格內加剔，並把這表格送回任何市議會的辦事處。

Cantonese

"اگر مایلید این اطلاعات به زبان (فارسی) ترجمه شود، خواهشمندیم شکل مربع را علامت زده و فرم را به هر آدرس شهرداری که در اختیار دارید پس بفرستید."

Farsi

Si vous souhaitez obtenir une traduction de ces informations en français, cochez cette case et renvoyez ce coupon au bureau municipal de votre choix

French

如果你想這些信息翻譯成普通話，請在方格內划勾，并把這表格送回任何市議會的辦事處。

Mandarin

No caso de querer obter a tradução desta informação em português, assinale por favor o quadrado correspondente, e envie este impresso para qualquer um dos 'council office' (escritórios da Câmara Municipal).

Portuguese

Eğer bu bilgilerin Türkçe tercümesini isterseniz, lütfen kutuyu işaretleyip herhangi bir Belediye bürosuna götürünüz.

Turkish

Other (please state)

This can also be made available in large print, in Braille or on audio tape

City Planning
Brighton & Hove City Council
Hove Town Hall
Norton Road
Hove
BN3 3BQ

Small back-land housing development

A proposal to develop a former private garden of 0.1 hectares for 9 terraced houses appeared to offer few opportunities for urban biodiversity, but biodiversity loss was successfully minimised and new opportunities for wildlife created.

The Site

The development site was typical of a large back garden in suburban Brighton with a mix of vegetable gardens, fruit trees and flower borders, adjacent to the city-wide green network/ Greenway.

The Proposal

The proposal involved developing the site for 9 terraced three-story houses with small courtyard gardens. Access to the site from an adjacent road was provided, although the development itself is car-free. The proposal was supported by a strong policy base encouraging high density development on previously developed land but it also had to demonstrate that there would be no net loss of biodiversity and that opportunities to increase biodiversity had been maximised, in order to meet biodiversity policy requirements.

Taking account of Biodiversity

During the initial visit, the architect carried out a 'first impressions' survey of the potential biodiversity of the site, using **Annex 3** to this SPD. The following indicators of biodiversity were identified:

Biodiversity Indicators	Indicator number	Notes (indicators of:)
Amenity or native scrub or more than 10 bushes	1	Nesting birds
Compost heaps in mature gardens	5	Slow-worm
Hedges (including garden hedges) in or adjacent to the development site	9	Nesting birds
Pathways passing under thick vegetation; small excavations in grassed areas.	15	Badger

On the basis of the findings of this survey, the developer decided to employ a consultant ecologist to carry out an ecological survey of the site and to make recommendations for mitigation, compensation and enhancement. The consultant was employed in good time to ensure the survey could be carried out at the appropriate season and so that their recommendations could be integrated into the development from the earliest design stages.

The ecological survey discovered several species listed in Annex I to this SPD. These included a large population of Slow-worm breeding on site. Badger, House Sparrow, Pipistrelle Bat, and Song Thrush were also found to use the site for feeding but were not resident. A pond of 7m² was also discovered.

The findings of the ecological survey formed the basis for a strategy for minimising harm and maximising the biodiversity value of the development. This was achieved in the following ways:

Total number of 'habitat points' allocated to this 0.1 ha development:
1,000.

Mitigation

Access for badgers to the adjacent green network / Greenway was retained via a 'badger corridor' to mitigate for the effects of the development on local badger populations.

Compensation

Loss of the mature garden as Slow-worm habitat was unavoidable. However, using Table 6.1 of Annex 6, the developer agreed to pay the council a commuted sum of £1,720 to create and maintain for 10 years 0.1 ha of new Slow-Worm habitat at a pre-agreed site in Wild Park Local Nature Reserve. A 7m² pond was also created on communal green space within the development to compensate for the one lost.

Total number of habitat points earned by compensatory measures:

1000m ² of reptile habitat at 0.17 points per square metre:	170
7m ² of pond at 17 points per square metre:	119

Enhancement

200m² of green roofs were fitted to sections of the roofs of the new houses, providing compensatory feeding habitat for House Sparrow, Pipistrelle Bat, Song Thrush and other wildlife, which contributed to targets in the Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP).

A green, open space was created in front of the new houses, including 50m² of wildlife-friendly amenity planting and the pond (fenced) to provide visual interest for the householders and an enhanced habitat for wildlife. The space also incorporates 100m² of Local Area for Play which includes scented plants to stimulate interest by small children. The householders make an annual payment to a management company to maintain the space in accordance with a pre-agreed management plan (secured through the Section 106 agreement). The space also provides opportunities for the householders to actively participate in habitat management and to be involved in an annual review of priorities.

One bat box and one bird box for House Sparrow and roosting bats were fitted to the walls of one of the houses to provide opportunities for these species to become established on site, to enhance its biodiversity value and address LBAP targets.

Total number of habitat points earned by enhancement measures:

200m ² of green roof at 3.45 points per m ² :	690
50m ² of amenity planting at 1.2 points per m ² :	60
1 bat and one bird box (3.4 + 6)	9.4

Total number of points earned by the development: (48.4 points in excess of that required)	1,048.4
---	---------

Public building adjacent to a Local Nature Reserve

A proposal to provide a new public facility close to a Local Nature Reserve ensured that biodiversity plays an enhanced role in improving quality of life for the local community.

The Site

The development site comprised 0.4 ha of amenity grassland in a neighbourhood of Brighton and Hove which had been identified as suffering from multiple deprivation.

The Proposal

The development proposal involved creating a new 1,500m² floorspace community facility on two floors, including a nursery, office space, a computer suite, coffee bar and training facilities.

Taking account of Biodiversity

The council-led proposal was put together by a multi-disciplinary team to ensure the final scheme would be socially, environmentally and economically sustainable. In accordance with the requirements of Section 40 of the NERC Act 2006, biodiversity considerations were integrated into the scheme from the outset and the costs factored into the funding bid.

A 'first impressions' survey found that the development site was of low biodiversity value, and the scheme therefore concentrated on delivering biodiversity gain and contributing to LBAP targets. Its proximity to a Local Nature Reserve (LNR) was also recognised as an asset which would help to promote the community-building objectives of the development.

Biodiversity objectives were realised as follows:

Total number of 'habitat points' allocated to this 0.4 ha development :
4,000.

Mitigation and Compensation

None required.

Enhancement

Enhancement on site

Biodiversity features were integrated into the new community facility, including a 'biodiverse roof', 'green walls' and ten wall-mounted nest boxes for House Sparrow. The biodiverse roof was vegetated with a native chalk grassland seed mix to contribute to LBAP targets. This also helped to disguise the building in long-views from within the LNR. Surrounding landscaping used wildlife-friendly plants, including a variety of scented species in open space attached to the nursery. Spiny *Pyracantha*, was grown against the walls of the facility to provide berries and nesting opportunities for birds, and as a deterrent to climbing teenagers! Green wall planting at the rear of the facility was installed to deter graffiti.

Habitat Point Allocation (see Table 6.2 at **Annex 6**)

700m² green roof

2,415

75m ² green wall	1,215
10 bird nest boxes	34
150m ² wildlife-friendly landscaping	180
Total	3,844
Unallocated:	156

Enhancement off site - the Local Nature Reserve

The close proximity of a Local Nature Reserve to the proposed facility was an opportunity to use the remaining 156 habitat points (equivalent to £1,560) to create off-site improvements. This funding was used to purchase an oak interpretation board, which is located at the entrance to the LNR and to contribute to improving the access path into the LNR, using construction machinery while on-site.

Community Awareness

To raise awareness of the environment, a permanent poster display, with a map of the LNR and showing some of the species that can be seen on the reserve, is located in the coffee bar area of the facility. The Friends of the LNR are also able to display their newsletter there and use the facility free of charge for photocopying and for holding meetings.