

**Appendix 2- Table Outlining Recommendations**

The below table shows a summary of the amount of objections for each cycle hangar location noted in point 2 of the main report, and reasons residents gave, along with officer recommendations

Road Name	Specific location	Ward	Amount of requests from 2021 survey	Amount of Objections/support during TRO	Summary of reasons	Officer recommendation
Burton Villas	Outside 40 Wilbury Crescent	Goldsmid	12 on Wilbury Crescent	13 residents objected 1 ward Cllr objected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Positioning of the hangar, should be placed away from anyone's front door.</li> <li>Taking away a parking space.</li> <li>Lack of parking enforcement in the area</li> <li>Burton Villas is mainly houses, not flats</li> <li>More suitable locations on Wilbury Crescent or Bigwood Avenue suggested</li> </ul>	Officers considered the objections and in this case recommend seeking an alternative site for the hangar.
Franklin Street (hangar 1)	Outside 45	Hanover & Elm Grove	16	2 objections from residents, 1 official complaint from resident of Franklin Street	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anti social behaviour</li> <li>Turning circle for vehicles</li> <li>Access to the park</li> <li>Proximity to residents window</li> </ul>	On further investigation officers agree that this is not a suitable location for a hangar, recommend that we don't install it. Hangar 2 is already installed on Franklin St.
Cissbury Road (hangar 2)	Outside 32, near junction with Old Shoreham Road	Goldsmid	11	7 objections from residents 2 objections from ward Cllrs 2 support from residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loss of parking</li> <li>No need for 2 hangars</li> <li>Graffiti concerns</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and recommend the location is suitable. Install it.

Road Name	Specific location	Ward	Amount of requests from 2021 survey	Amount of Objections/support during TRO	Summary of reasons	Officer recommendation
Dudley Road	Hangar 1 – Next to disabled bay near junction with Hollingbury Place Hangar 2 – Outside number 5	Hollingdean	8	25 objections from residents 7 support from residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Loss of parking</li> <li>• Not needed as there's mainly houses on Dudley Rd, not flats. Some have garages for bike storage</li> <li>• Anti-social behaviour issues</li> <li>• They would cause disturbance/noise for residents</li> <li>• Shouldn't be installed directly outside properties</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and in this case recommend seeking an alternative site for both hangars. Not on Dudley Road.
St Leonards Road	Hangar 1 – Outside number 111 Hangar 2 – outside number 20	Wish	7	6 objections from residents 1 support from resident in St Leonards Rd & 1 from resident in St Leonards Ave	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Loss of parking</li> <li>• Not needed as the road has mainly houses with gardens/side access, not many flats on the road</li> <li>• Other roads and areas close by are more suitable for cycle hangars</li> <li>• Anti social behaviour concerns</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and in this case recommend installing both hangars.
Clermont Terrace	Hangar 1 – outside number 39 Hangar 2-outside Clermont Church	Withdean	11	6 objections from residents 2 support from residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Safety concerns (hangar 2)</li> <li>• Pleasant looking tree lined street – hangars look unattractive</li> <li>• Unsuitable location, other areas nearby would be better</li> <li>• Not needed</li> <li>• Loss of parking</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and recommend the location is suitable. Install both hangars, with an amendment to hangar 2 to address the safety issue.

Road Name	Specific location	Ward	Amount of requests from 2021 survey	Amount of Objections/support during TRO	Summary of reasons	Officer recommendation
Kingsley Road	Hangar 1 – outside number 4 Hangar 2 – outside number 31	Withdean	15	6 objections from residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Loss of parking</li> <li>• Mainly houses not flats on the road</li> <li>• Other locations in the area more suitable</li> <li>• Noise</li> <li>• Residents can keep bikes on railings in their gardens</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and recommend the location is suitable. Install both hangars.
Islingword Place	Hangar 1 – outside number 2 Hangar 2 – outside number 44	Hanover & Elm Grove	6	6 objections from residents 2 support from residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noise and disturbance to residents</li> <li>• Won't discourage driving</li> <li>• Blocks doorway access</li> <li>• Prevents residents from parking close to their homes</li> <li>• Elderly/disabled resident concerns (hangar 2)</li> </ul>	Install Hangar 1 only. Gradient is an issue for installing hangars on this road.
Chesham Street	Hangar 2 -outside number 3 (hangar 1 not proposed as yet)	East Brighton	9	6 objections from residents 1 support from a resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Loss of parking, recent EV bay already took 2 resident permit holder bays</li> <li>• Road safety, proximity to junction</li> <li>• Not an appropriate location</li> <li>• Most residents have cycle storage in their properties</li> </ul>	Objections have been considered and recommend the location is suitable. Install this one.
The Drive – hangar 1	Outside Home Drive House	Central Hove & Goldsmid	8	Petition from 20 residents of a block of flats near the proposed location.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hangars not needed at this location</li> <li>• Loss of parking</li> </ul>	Don't install, 1 other on The Drive is already installed, another will be proposed in the next round of TRO advertisements.

				2 other resident objections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Outside a retirement block and no one there cycles</li></ul>	